

## SWT Planning Committee - 17 March 2022

Present: Councillor Simon Coles (Chair)

Councillors Ian Aldridge, Roger Habgood, Mark Lithgow, Chris Morgan, Ray Tully, Sarah Wakefield, Brenda Weston, Keith Wheatley and Loretta Whetlor

Officers: Rebecca Miller, Alison Blom-Cooper, Jeremy Guise, Simon Fox, Darren Roberts, Tracey Meadows and Roy Pinney (Shape Legal)

(The meeting commenced at 1.00 pm)

### 114. Apologies

Apologies were received from Councillors Blaker, Firmin, Hassall, Hill and Palmer

### 115. Minutes of the previous meeting of the Planning Committee

(Minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on 24 February circulated with the agenda)

**Resolved** that the minutes of the Planning Committee held on 24 February be confirmed as a correct record.

Proposed by Councillor Habgood seconded by Councillor Tully

The **Motion** was carried.

### 116. Declarations of Interest or Lobbying

Members present at the meeting declared the following personal interests in their capacity as a Councillor or Clerk of a County, Town or Parish Council or any other Local Authority:-

Name	Description of Interest	Reason	Action Taken
Cllr S Coles	SCC & Taunton Charter Trustee	Personal	Spoke and Voted
Cllr M Lithgow	Wellington	Personal	Spoke and Voted
Cllr C Morgan	Stogursey	Personal	Spoke and Voted
Cllr R Tully	West Monkton	Personal	Spoke and Voted
Cllr B Weston	Taunton Charter Trustee	Personal	Spoke and Voted
Cllr S Wakefield	Item 8, Ward Member	Personal	Spoke and did not vote
Cllr L Whetlor	Watchet	Personal	Spoke and Voted

117. **Public Participation**

Application No.	Name	Position	Stance
30/21/0022	David Bolle-Jones		In favour
	Robin Upton	Agent	In Favour

118. **38/21/0440 - Demolition of Auction House and site clearance with temporary diversion of cycle and pedestrian route through the site, raising of ground to create platform formation levels, ground remediation, flood mitigation, primary foul and surface water drainage networks and connections for future sites/developments surrounding the site at Firepool, Taunton.**

**Comments from Members included:**  
(summarised)

- Measures to separate cyclists and pedestrians needed to be incorporated into the plans of the site;
- Landscaping in Canal Road should be completed on the onset of the development;
- Highway concerns with traffic movement of HGV's to and from the site;
- Concerns with the start time of construction lorries starting on site at 7am, this was too early and would have an impact on neighbouring residents. Times should be changed to 7.30am to lessen this impact;
- Concerns with the lack of information regarding materials coming onto the site;
- Concerns for safe access routes to the site for the disabled;
- Concerns with clear water being drained into the Tone causing problems down river;
- Concerns with the flood and dust mitigation criteria;
- The site was a key priority for Taunton and pleased that it was finally being brought forward;

Councillor Habgood proposed and Councillor Tully seconded a motion for planning permission to be **GRANTED** subject to Conditions and a note to the applicant that the proposed temporary footway, cycleway should be segregated by means of a white painted line through the centre of it.

The **motion** was carried

119. **38/21/0436 - Erection of an office building with ancillary ground floor commercial use (Class E), conversion and erection of extension to the GWR building to form restaurant (Class E), public realm, landscaping and associated infrastructure works on land to the south of Trenchard Way, (Block 3), Firepool, Taunton**

At this point in the meeting a 10-minute break was called for and Councillor Morgan left the meeting.

**Comments from Members included;**  
(summarised)

- Better solutions for securely storage for cycles should be provided;
- Concerns for people with mobility issue getting from Trenchard Way to Canal Road. This would be through the same throughfare as the cyclists;
- The development provided a striking contrast to the Innovation Centre;
- Car parking would need to be factored in as not everyone would come to Taunton by train or bicycle;
- Great development and would bring huge benefit to Taunton;
- Delighted that we have managed to keep the GWR building for the future;

Councillor Habgood proposed and Councillor Wheatley seconded a motion for Planning permission to be **GRANTED** subject to additional Conditions below;

Block 3:

Amend condition 02

Change stated date to 16/03/2022.

Additional condition 23

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 or any order revoking and re-enacting the 2015 Order with or without modification), no extensions shall be added or alterations made to the new office building or GWR building without the further grant of planning permission.

Reason: To safeguard the skyline of Taunton and local designated/non-designated heritage assets.

Additional condition 24

A scheme shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority which details the provision of secure and covered cycle parking. The agreed scheme shall be carried out, in full, prior to the first use of any building and retained thereafter.

Reason: To ensure cycles can be securely stored to encourage sustainable modes of transport.

The **motion** was carried

120. **22/21/0012 - Change of use from Class B8 to Class E(g) of existing Old Cheese Factory and erection of an additional Class E(g) unit at The Old**

## **Cheese Factory, Deans Cross to Broad Oak, Lydeard St Lawrence**

### **Comments from Members included;** (summarised)

- Satisfied that the parking spaces had been increased;
- The application would benefit the community;
- Concerns with the status of the road through to the lane;

Councillor Lithgow proposed and Councillor Whetlor seconded a motion for Conditional Approval to be **APPROVED** with the following conditions:-

1. The wording of Condition 2 amended to remove superseded by drawings. The amended condition to read:- (e.g. Drg No. 84\_3\_7 rev A Proposed Shop Elevations) have been also listed in the Condition and so the list of recommended approved plans should be corrected according to the update sheet;
2. Wording of Condition No.3 amended to add “without the prior express grant of planning permission”. to the end to make it clear that it does not fetter a decision on a future planning application. The amended condition reads:-

The premises hereby approved shall be used for offices (Class E (g)(ii), research and development of products and processes (Class E(g)(ii) and/or light industrial processes (Class E (g)(ii) and for no other purpose (including any other purpose within the Class E of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Uses Classes) Order 1987 or any provision equivalent to that Class in any statutory instrument revoking and re enactment that Order with or without modification) without the prior express grant of planning permission;

Reason: The Local Planning Authority wishes to ensure that any future change of use, including changes with Use Class E, do not adversely affect the highway network;

3. The figure of 25 parking spaces quoted in the report is incorrect. This was the number originally proposed. It was amended to 36 parking spaces, which is the number now proposed:

The **motion** was carried

121. **30/21/0022 - Erection of 1 No. detached bungalow with detached double garage and alteration to access arrangement, on land adjacent to Matthews Farm, Blagdon Hill Road, Blagdon Hill**

### **Comments by members of the public included;**

- The previous planning application was refused in 2018 on Highway concerns, these had now being resolved;

- This application was the first application to have a Phosphate Mitigation solution which has been approved and fully supported by Natural England and the Somerset Ecology Services. This mitigation showed other builders that there was a blueprint to follow;
- Tree planning on the site had huge biodiversity gains and benefits to wildlife which also helped towards the climate Ecology emergencies before us today;
- Concerns with the lack of planning application being approved in the area due to the current phosphate problem;
- Concerns with the lack of housing being built and the lack of supply chains;
- The application was now set back to it provided the required visibility splay;
- A management plan was in place for the long term to maintain the orchard;

**Comments by Members included:**

- Back land development outside of the village envelope;
- Intensification of use of a farm access which has not been used for a very long time;
- Dangerous exit where you could not see around the bends due to the stone walls;
- The planting of trees for phosphate mitigation would block other peoples views;
- Concerns with access issues;
- Pleased that the developer had addressed the phosphate issue with a phosphate mitigation strategy;

At this point in the meeting a 30-minute extension was approved by Councillor Habgood and seconded by Councillor Lithgow

- Concerns with Highways standing advice;
- Concerns with the aggregate risk;
- Matthews House has not been a working farm for many years so the access had not being used for farm vehicles;

Councillor Habgood proposed and Councillor Lithgow seconded a motion for the application to be **DEFERRED** for clarity from the Highway Department on highway safety and access issues for an additional dwelling;

The **motion** was carried

122. **Latest appeal decisions received**

Noted.

(The Meeting ended at 4.50 pm)