

West Somerset Council

Full Council – 21st November 2018

HPC Planning Obligations Board – Allocation of CIM Funding

This matter is the responsibility of Cllr M Dewdney, Lead Member for Resources and Central Support.

Report Author: Lisa Redston, Community and Housing Impact Lead, Place and Energy

1 Purpose of the Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to present the recommendations of the HPC Planning Obligations Board, for the allocation of monies from the HPC CIM Fund for grant applications received on 1st August 2018.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 That Full Council endorses the recommendation of the Hinkley C Planning Obligations Board to approve the allocation of the following from the 2nd Annual HPC CIM Fund Payment:
 - 2.1.1 **£150,000 to Watchet Bowling Club for the Watchet Bowling Club Community Hall project with conditions set by the Planning Obligations Board.**
 - 2.1.2 **£350,000 to Burnham on Sea and Highbridge Town Council for the Burnham Evolution project.**
 - 2.1.3 **£300,000 to North Petherton Rugby Club for the New Community Gym project.**
 - 2.1.4 **An additional £110,000 to Stogursey and District Victory Hall for the Stogursey Victory Hall development project with conditions set by the Planning Obligations Board.**
 - 2.1.5 **£320,000 to Cheddar Parish Council for the Cheddar Community Pavilion project.**
 - 2.1.6 **£180,000 to Citizens Advice Sedgemoor for the Supporting Hinkley Advice Needs project.**
 - 2.1.7 **£51,428 to Sedgemoor District Council for the Victoria Ward Enhancements project.**
 - 2.1.8 **£23,000 to Williton RBL Shooting Club for the Providing Accessible Shooting for All project.**

3 Risk Assessment

Risk Description	Current Score	Existing and planned control measures	Target Score after control
Lack of quality approvable bids to the CIM Fund due to communities not having the means (skills/resources) to make quality bids and deliver projects resulting in a lack of effective impact mitigation projects	Medium (12)	Community development officers in post in WSC/TDBC and Sedgemoor District councils and Engage WS contracted to support communities in WS in making bids and project delivery. Risk remains feasible as capacity of community development officers is limited.	Medium (9)
Risk of future community impacts not being mitigated due to early demand for funding exceeding available budget resulting an inability to respond to future or unknown impacts.	Medium (12)	Annual contribution payments (2015 and 2016) will ensure a budget is available to respond to future demand. Planning Obligations Board to continue to develop funding strategy that includes mechanisms for review and reprioritisation and trigger points for release of funding to reflect changes in circumstances and impacts.	Low (8)
Failure of the Planning Obligations Board to allocate CIM fund by August 2017 resulting in continued requirement for staff resource to manage application/decision making process, finances and to support community.	Medium (9)	Planning Obligations Board to continue to develop funding strategy to provide direction for release of funding.	Low (4)
Failure of the Planning Obligations Board to monitor the actual and potential impacts of the development due to the lack of a defined impact monitoring procedure resulting in the inability of the Planning Obligations Board to apply funding to achieve maximum mitigation of impacts.	Medium (16)	Planning Obligations Board to develop process and procedures for monitoring the impact and potential impact of the development and reflect this in the funding strategy.	Low (8)

- 3.1 The scoring of the risks identified in the above table has been based on the WSC and TDBC council's risk assessment scoring matrix. Only those risks that score medium or high are detailed in this report. The full risk assessment is available on request from the CIM Fund Manager.

4 Background

- 4.1 Applications to the CIM Fund are considered by the Planning Obligations Board against nine criteria outlined in the Section 106 legal agreement for the Site Preparation Works at Hinkley Point. A recommendation is subsequently made to West Somerset Council's Cabinet. Any proposals above £25,000 also require approval by West Somerset's Full Council.

Criteria	Evaluation Criterion
Priority Impact Zones	<p>Priority shall be given to those areas that are anticipated in the Environmental Statement to experience or which actually experience the greatest adverse impact from the project in accordance with the following hierarchy:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Directly adjacent to the site 2) Directly adjacent to the main transport routes to and from the site within West Somerset, Sedgemoor and Somerset 3) Within West Somerset and/or Sedgemoor and directly affected by adverse impacts of the project 4) In Somerset but beyond West Somerset and Sedgemoor and experiencing the next greatest degree of adverse impact, with projects which benefit West Somerset and Sedgemoor as well as its immediate area 5) In Somerset and experiencing indirect adverse impacts or in relation to a measure which benefits West Somerset and/or Sedgemoor.
Quality of Life	The principal purpose of the contribution shall be to enhance the quality of life of communities affected/potentially affected by the Project.
Sustainability	To what extent will the project contribute to achieving sustainable communities, contribute to regeneration objectives and raising environmental sustainability?
Extent of benefit	To what extent has the applicant demonstrated that the project will ensure a positive benefit and/or legacy to an adequate proportion of people within that community?
Community Need	To what extent has the applicant demonstrated a need for the project
Community Support	To what extent is there demonstrable local community and and/or business support for the project?
Partner Support	To what extent is there demonstrable local partner support for the project?
Governance	Demonstrate that good governance arrangements are in place, including financial and project management to ensure deliverability?
Value for Money	Can the applicant demonstrate value for money and that reasonable effort has been made to maximise the impact of any investment? Has match funding been secured where appropriate?

5 Current financial position

5.1 The HPC Community fund has been open to applicants since June 2014.

5.2 As of 7th November 2018 of the £7,958,027 available £6,108,561 has been allocated as per the below table.

Ringfence	Total Available £	Total Allocated £	Total remaining £
West Somerset	2,134,529	2,134,529	0
Sedgemoor (Bridgwater)	1,067,265	1,067,265	0
Sedgemoor (Cannington)	533,632	370,138	163,494
Stogursey	522,632	332,087	201,545
1 st Annual Payment (Somerset)	1,751,749	1,751,749	0
2 nd Annual Payment (Somerset)	1,937,220	452,792	1,484,428
Total	7,958,027	6,108,561	1,948,446

5.3 This report recommends the allocation of the remaining £1,484,428 of the 2nd Annual HPC CIM fund payment.

5.4 Unallocated funds from the Cannington and Stogursey ring-fenced payments will be allocated in line with current assessment and decision making procedures on receipt of applications for projects in these areas.

6 CIM Applications considered by the HPC Planning Obligations Board

6.1 At their meeting on 3rd and 4th October the HPC Planning Obligations Board considered 12 applications for grant funding.

6.2 All applications have been subject to financial viability checks, any concerns in relation to the viability of an organisation or project are highlighted within the application assessment summaries attached in Appendix 1.

6.3 The following is a summary of the applications considered and the Planning Obligations Board recommendations for the allocation of funding.

Applicant	Amount applied for	Award	Additional Conditions	Planning Obligations Board Comments
Watchet Bowling Club	£300,000	£150,000	<i>Funding should be used as a contribution towards the community building that will provide a wide range of open access social and leisure activities in Watchet, Funding should not be used as a contribution towards the gym or indoor rink.</i>	<i>The need for additional community facilities in Watchet will be predominantly generated by general housing development in the area. However it is recognised that HPC workers will be attracted to the area due to the Washford Cross park and ride. The organisation has received £50k toward the provision of the new bowling rinks from the Hinkley Leisure Fund. Therefore recommend that £150,000 is offered to match the s106 funding from housing development in the area.</i>
BOS and Highbridge TC	£350,000	£350,000	NA	<i>The project successfully met the funding criteria. The project contained a package of 4 elements that together would mitigate impacts in this area and maximise the opportunities from the HPC development.</i>
Williton RBL Shooting Club	£23,000	£23,000	<i>The applicants should provide activities and promote the facility to ensure young people are encouraged to take part in the activities offered.</i>	<i>Although funds have been provided by the CIM fund and Hinkley Leisure fund for leisure and sport projects in Williton, this type of activity particularly appeals to young people of which there is a shortage of activities currently.</i>
North Petherton Rugby Club	£329,000	£300,000	<i>The applicants should ensure that pricing structures are in place that support the use of the facilities by disadvantaged or vulnerable members of the community.</i>	<i>The project successfully met the funding criteria and supports the priorities for the remaining funds. Due to the limited funding available and the ability of the applicants to reduce the overall project costs a reduced amount is recommended.</i>
Stogursey Victory Hall	£110,000	£110,000	<i>The remaining £201,545 in the Stogursey ring-fenced fund should be used as contingency in the event of any additional costs incurred. That the applicants accept the offer of support from West Somerset Council to provide an intelligent client function for the project.</i>	<i>Funding is essential to ensure the project is progressed in an area significantly impacted by the site. The applicants applied to a range of other funders to support the project. Further delay of the project start will incur further costs due to inflation and increasing contractor costs. This puts the project at further risk if the project is delayed.</i>

<i>Cheddar Pavilion</i>	<i>£320,000</i>	£320,000	<i>NA</i>	<i>The project successfully met the funding criteria and supports the priorities for the remaining funds. No other impact mitigation measures have been funded by the CIM Fund in Cheddar.</i>
<i>Citizens Advice Sedgemoor</i>	<i>£180,000</i>	£180,000	<i>NA</i>	<i>The project successfully met the funding criteria and supports the priorities for the remaining funds.</i>
<i>SDC Victoria Ward Enhancements</i>	<i>£74,915</i>	£51,428	<i>NA</i>	<i>The Board recognises the significant level of impact in the area. A reduced amount is recommended due to the limited amount of CIM funding available and the previous investment into public realm enhancements in the area.</i>
<i>Kings of Wessex Fitness and Leisure</i>	<i>£450,000</i>	£0	<i>NA</i>	<i>The application did not meet the impact mitigation criteria or the priorities for the remaining funds. The project did not aim to mitigate impacts in a way that would benefit a wide range of the community with various interests.</i>
<i>Somerset County Council Bridgwater Nucleus</i>	<i>£480,700</i>	£0	<i>The application should be referred to the HPC Community Fund for consideration.</i>	<i>The project did not suit a partial award as the individual elements of the project were complimentary to deliver mitigation of impacts in the area.</i>
<i>Thurloxton Village Hall</i>	<i>£50,000</i>	£0	<i>NA</i>	<i>The impacts in the area are not sufficient to prioritise the remaining CIM funds for this project.</i>
<i>West Somerset College</i>	<i>£57,094</i>	£0	<i>NA</i>	<i>The application did not meet the funding criteria or support the priorities for the remaining funds. The area has received funding from the CIM fund and Hinkley Leisure fund for similar projects in the area.</i>
		£1,484,428		

7 Links to Corporate Aims / Priorities

- 7.1 The allocation of these funds will enable the Council to deliver against the Corporate Priorities of 'Our Communities - Helping our communities remain sustainable and vibrant is vital in keeping West Somerset a great place in which to live and work' and 'Our Place and Infrastructure - West Somerset is a beautiful place to visit and in which to live and work. We want to keep West Somerset a place to be proud of and one which is well maintained and welcoming to residents, visitors and businesses alike.

8 Finance / Resource Implications

- 8.1 On 6th May 2016, EDF made the payment for the second anniversary of phase two under the Site Preparation Work agreement. Under this, the CIM fund has received £1,937,220 inclusive of inflation uplift. Bringing the total CIM Fund received to £7,424,395.
- 8.2 Financial information regarding allocated funding from the Community Impact Mitigation Fund can be found in Appendix A.
- 8.3 Any impact on the councils resources are highlighted within the summary of each application.
- 8.4 All organisations applying for funding are subject to financial viability checks to reduce risk associated with the award of grant funding.

9 Legal Implications

- 9.1 These funds have been paid by a developer (NNB Genco) due to the signing of a Section 106 legal agreement for planning permission to carry out the site preparation works at Hinkley Point C (West Somerset Council Planning Application No: 3/32/10/037). As part of this legal agreement West Somerset Council shall take into account the recommendations of the Planning Obligations Board when deciding how to apply those elements of the Community Impact Mitigation Contributions (Schedule 1 – General, Para. 5.3 of the S106).

10 Environmental Impact Implications

- 10.1 Applicants are encouraged to consider the environmental implications of their project and to demonstrate how their projects will promote environmental sustainability, for example through the use of recycled materials, alternative energy sources, reduction in travel by motor vehicles, improvements to the local environment and regeneration.
- 10.2 There are environmental impacts associated with the wider proposed development of Hinkley Point C. These have been assessed within the Environmental Statement submitted by NNB Genco with the application to carry out Site Preparation Works at Hinkley Point C (West Somerset Council Planning Application No: 3/32/10/037) and additional mitigation measures have been secured.

11 Safeguarding and/or Community Safety Implications

- 11.1 Applicants are encouraged to consider the promotion of community safety and community cohesion as part of their project.
- 11.2 Applications for projects that provide facilities or services to children, young people or vulnerable adults are required to include copies of the applicants safeguarding policy and procedures.

- 11.3 The requirement for organisations to adhere to Safeguarding legislation are included in the CIM Fund grant terms and conditions.

12 Equality and Diversity Implications

- 12.1 Members must demonstrate that they have consciously thought about the three aims of the Public Sector Equality Duty as part of the decision making process.

The three aims the authority **must** have due regard for:

- Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation
- Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it
- Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

- 12.2 Organisations applying to the CIM Fund are required to describe how their project will promote equal opportunities and will be accessible to all people in the community regardless of background, ability or personal circumstances.

- 12.3 Projects that restrict membership or access to services without being able to 'objectively justify' their reasons for doing so will not be eligible to be considered for funding. Projects that wish to limit access must be able to show that the less favourable treatment contributes to a 'legitimate' aim and that it is 'proportionate.'

- 12.4 Organisations are required to provide a copy of their Equal Opportunity Policy with their application to demonstrate awareness of their responsibility to deliver accessible services that advance equality.

- 12.5 Wider community benefit and the ability of the project to promote cohesive communities are both taken into account when scoring applications and making recommendations.

13 Social Value Implications

- 13.1 Applications to the CIM Fund must demonstrate that they provide economic, social and or environmental benefits for the local area. Applicants are also encouraged to provide opportunities for volunteering and community involvement wherever possible.

14 Partnership Implications

- 14.1 The Planning Obligations Board has representative members from Sedgemoor District Council, Somerset County Council, EDF Energy and West Somerset Council.

- 14.2 Applicants are required to demonstrate arrangement to work in partnership with other local organisations and to seek cost effective solutions by sharing resources and expertise wherever possible.

15 Health and Wellbeing Implications

- 15.1 The Community Impact Contribution and Stogursey Contribution have been paid to West Somerset Council for the purpose of mitigating the impacts of the Hinkley C development on local communities through projects that promote or improve the economic, social or environmental wellbeing of local communities.

- 15.2 The application and scoring process has been developed to prioritise funding of projects that aim to improve the health and wellbeing of people, families and communities affected by the

development.

15.3 Applications are required to evidence and demonstrate that

- The communities is taking responsibility for their own health and wellbeing;
- Projects provide benefits which empower communities to be thriving and resilient
- Projects provide benefits which support people to live independently.

16 Asset Management Implications

16.1 There are no asset management implications as a result of these recommendations.

17 Consultation Implications

17.1 Applications to the CIM Fund are considered Planning Obligations Board. The Board consists of representatives from EDF, Sedgemoor District Council, West Somerset District Council and Somerset County Council.

17.2 All applicants are required to demonstrate that they have consulted with their local and wider communities on project proposals with the aim of informing their need appraisal and to shape delivery of their project.

18 Cabinet Comments / Recommendation(s)

18.1 Cabinet endorsed the recommendations of the Hinkley Point C Planning Obligations Board at their meeting on 1st November 2017.

Democratic Path:

- **Scrutiny / Corporate Governance or Audit Committees – No**
- **Cabinet/Executive – No Chair of Cabinet agreed for decision to be taken at full council**
- **Full Council – Yes**

Reporting Frequency: Every 2 months.

List of Appendices

Appendix 1	Application Assessment Summaries
Appendix 2	HPC CIM Fund Approval Balances

Contact Officers

Name:	Lisa Redston, Community and Housing Lead – Energy Infrastructure	Brendan Cleere, Director Growth and Development
Direct Dial:	01984 635218	01823 356350
Email:	iredston@westsomerset.gov.uk	b.cleere@tauntondeane.gov.uk

Appendix 1. Application Assessment Summaries

Project Name:	Burnham Evolution
Expression of Interest Ref No:	266
Organisation Applying:	Burnham on Sea and Highbridge Town Council
Summary of Project:	<p>The project aims to maximise the benefits of the HPC project for the coastal community in Burnham on Sea through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improvements to the South Esplanade and The Esplanade, including refurbishment of benches, bins, signage and lighting; replacement of the shelters on the South Esplanade; installation of a trail and floor games; new signage and links to Apex Park; children's play area; art trail along the seawall • Refurbishment of the Princess Theatre • Business support programme for town centre businesses • Purchasing a building to develop into a wet weather facility and managed workspace. • Employment of a project co-ordinator (2 years) • Marketing and Events
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	<p>As part of the construction of HPC there will be 750 lorry movements per day along the main transport route, the majority of these use Junction 23 of the M5. The major road improvement schemes in Bridgwater also create congestion affecting routes into Highbridge and Burnham on Sea. These impact travel times and create a negative impression for visitors and difficulties for residents and businesses.</p> <p>An increase in workers migrating to the area will put pressure on holiday accommodation with the potential to affect tourist visitor numbers and reduce revenue from tourism.</p> <p>There are opportunities to benefit from the development, through new business tourism markets and providing leisure opportunities for the workforce and their families.</p>
Start Date: January 2019	Total Project Costs: £1,663,620
Completion Date: March 2022	Amount applied for: £350,000
S106 considerations	
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes (with considerations)
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	Yes
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes (with considerations)

Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes

CIM Fund Manager Comments:
<p>Quality of Life – Meets Criteria</p> <p>The project will significantly improve quality of life for local people through a range of projects that will improve the local environment, support the local economy and provide opportunities to improve health, wellbeing and social interaction.</p> <p>Sustainability – Considerations</p> <p>The application demonstrates that it will ensure the project is environmentally sustainable and that it will strengthen and promote sustainability in the community</p> <p>In terms of financial sustainability although plans to cover ongoing costs were described in the Business Plan detail was lacking that gave necessary assurance that the project is financially sustainable.</p> <p>The ongoing costs of the project over at least a 3 year period were not provided with the application.</p> <p>Clarity was sought during the application process and if funding agreed applicants will be expected to provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A 3 year cost projection for each element of the project with clearly allocated responsibility for meeting these costs and a description of how these costs will be met. • Evidence that elements of the project will be owned and maintained by the District and County Councils or any other organisation. <p>Extent of Benefit – Meets Criteria.</p> <p>The project will provide a direct benefit to a good proportion of the local community and will benefit the wider community in both the short and long term. The project builds capacity in the community and provides an ongoing legacy for a wide range of users, visitors and businesses in the area.</p> <p>Community Need – Meets Criteria.</p> <p>Project clearly demonstrates and evidences using research and data a need for the project. The community is likely to be impacted by the HPC development and the project is directly related to the mitigation of the impacts and maximising opportunities. The project compliments other projects and supports the delivery of wider strategies and plans for the area.</p>

Community Support – Meets Criteria.

The application provides a variety of evidence of community support through evidence of consultation which demonstrates that a significant number of the community support the project. Key representative groups such as the Chamber of Commerce, Town Council and HTAP are supportive of the project. Although several letters of support from across the community were included, more letters of support could have been obtained to provide further evidence.

Partner Support – Meets Criteria

The application demonstrates and evidences support and involvement from a range of statutory and non-statutory partners, some of which are involved in the design and delivery of the project and have made a financial contribution. Letters of support from all partner agencies were not included and would have provided evidence of these working arrangements and support.

Governance – Meets Criteria

The application and Business Plan include clear explanations of robust governance arrangements and demonstrate the ability to develop and deliver the project aims and to monitor success of the project. Risks to the project have been fully assessed. A project timeline, budget and delivery plans are included in the application. Those delivering the project have previous project management experience.

Planning permission for the change of use and refurbishment of the building for indoor activities and soft place is not yet in place as the building has not yet been purchased.

Each project is based on estimated figures, if funding is awarded actual figures will need to be reviewed and an affordability review carried out before funding is released.

Value for Money – Meets Criteria with considerations

A small amount of match funding has been secured to date and several applications are in progress to secure significant amounts of match funding for the project. If successful the project will draw circa £1.3m into the area.

Volunteer time in managing the project in the short and long term and in kind input from partners is significant.

Elements of the project such as the esplanade, incubator hub, indoor play, business support and events can be linked to mitigation of impacts on the tourism sector, local economy and accommodation in the area and will benefit a wide range of the impacted community.

Project Name:	The Bridgwater Nucleus Project
Expression of Interest Ref No:	265
Organisation Applying:	Somerset County Council
Summary of Project:	<p>The project will create a community hub through the redesign of Bridgwater Library and improvements to Blake Gardens. The project aims to provide a hub for families, a cafe, a meeting/collaboration space for the community and businesses, an innovation space for businesses and young people and a redesigned public garden including toddler area, improved cycle ways and lighting.</p> <p>Funding is required for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creation of a ground floor café and meeting space • An external paved space for the new café • Creation of 3 new spaces on the first floor for an innovation zone and 2 lettable general use spaces. • Remodelling of the first-floor male and female toilets to accommodate unisex WC's and an accessible WC for staff and public use. • General refurbishment of the remaining areas to the first floor • New fit-out of the café and Library areas. • Remodelling of Blake Gardens to include replacement cycle paths, toddler play equipment, installing new lighting, fencing and walls, planting.
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	<p>There is a greater need for community facilities due to additional pressure on services caused by an increase in the local population.</p> <p>Some workers will be socially isolated as they will not be local.</p> <p>The local community will experience conflict and unease as they come into contact with non local workers and their families.</p> <p>Opportunities created by HPC will lead to an increased need for skills development for local people and HPC workers, including those that could form part of the supply chain, direct employees and the displaced workforce.</p> <p>Increased congestion on roads will make cycling less safe and cause pollution.</p>
Start Date: 1st December 2018	Total Project Costs: £1,048,242 (Cash Costs £536,834)
Completion Date: 1st October 2019	Amount applied for: £480,700
Documents received:	<p>Business Plan; Public consultation summary report; Indicative costs of library refurbishment (totalling £407,000); Floor Plans/visuals; Blake Gardens summary of costs/quotes/materials/lighting/play equipment; Photos of strategic route from South Bridgwater to Blake Gardens; Photos/visuals of Blake Garden enhancements; Indicative Costs for library refit; Letter confirming 20yr lease of land (outside cafe) from SDC; Deprivation statistics relating to wards surrounding the Library; Project Plan; Library works implementation plan; Blake Gardens project plan; Procurement timeline; Consultation Analysis Adults/Children; Library Location Plan; Blake Gardens Boundary Plan; Library registration; SCC documents (constitution, Health and Safety Policy, Equality Policy, Policy overview, Procurement Rules and Standing Orders) Letters of support Community</p>

	Bridgwater Chamber of Commerce, SDC Employment Skills and Training manager/Under construction, Leigh Redman SCC Cllr Bridgwater South, Westover Ward Cllrs, Federation of Small Businesses Somerset, Sedgemoor FM, ASP Neighbourhood Inspector Sedgemoor; Letters of support Partners involved SCC Transport (Andy Coupe), Bridgwater Town Council (letter and minutes of development forum), Somerset EBP , South West Heritage Trust, Post 16 Advisor SCC, Public Health Consultant SCC, Blake Museum, SASP, Engine Room, Somerset Energy Innovation Centre.
Documents outstanding:	None
S106 considerations	
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes (with considerations)
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	Yes
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes (with considerations)
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes (with considerations)
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Considerations

CIM Fund Manager Comments:
<p>Quality of Life – Meets Criteria</p> <p>The project has the potential to significantly improve the quality of life of its users and a good proportion of the wider community through a range of facilities and resources that will promote cohesion, improve health and wellbeing, provide skills and development opportunities and support business start-up and growth.</p> <p>Sustainability – Meets Criteria</p> <p>The project will help to promote sustainable communities through social interaction, skills development and improved health and wellbeing, builds capacity in the community and supports regeneration. The project will promote environmental sustainability through enhancing the environment and promoting the use of alternative modes of transport.</p>

In terms of the financial sustainability of the project SCC have committed a 2 year budget to provide ongoing maintenance and repairs to the library, it is unclear how this will be funded past this point, although it will remain the responsibility of SCC to fund this work. Income generation and charges for users (fee's, letting of new space, operation of the cafe) will be used to fund the staffing and running costs of the library and will be reviewed annually. All ongoing maintenance and development works/costs regarding Blake Gardens will be the full responsibility of SDC.

Extent of Benefit – Meets Criteria with considerations

The project has the potential to benefit a significant proportion of the local community and those living in the wider Bridgwater area. Although the applicants state that the facilities will benefit HPC workers it is unclear how many of those, and specifically those staying at the campus, are likely to use the facilities. The project will provide short term benefits and a legacy for the community and businesses in the area.

The application does not make it clear how much additionality it will bring to the current provision within the library in terms of current facilities and community access to resources.

There are elements of the project, specifically the planting, that do not provide a significant benefit in terms of impact mitigation.

Community Need – Considerations

Project demonstrates a community need for most elements of the project that are relevant and related to impacts of HPC on the community.

Elements of the project may be duplicating other impact mitigation measures already in place that have been funded by the HPC CIM fund specifically cycle ways in Bridgwater, improved parks and open space and a range of employment and business support schemes (Somerset EBP, Bridgwater CoC, Victoria Park Job Club, Westfield Street Cafe/Job Club and Bridgwater Town Centre Support Scheme). Partners have also expressed concern for duplication of other projects funded by EDF or directly related to HPC such as Under Construction and the Engine room.

It is unlikely that elements of the project, in particular the aesthetic aspects of the Blake Garden improvements are directly related to mitigating impacts of the HPC development.

The Board may wish to consider funding specific elements of the project only.

Community Support – Meets Criteria

The application provides a variety of evidence of community support from library users, those using Blake Gardens and representatives of the community including businesses, the District Council and Avon and Somerset Police.

Partner Support – Meets Criteria with considerations.

The application evidences a wide range of support from statutory and non-statutory partners, some of which are involved in the design and delivery of the project and have made a financial

contribution. Some partners have concerns regarding duplication of effort in terms of business support and skills, education and training provision and have requested that the project works closely in partnership to avoid duplication of effort and impact mitigation.

Governance – Meets Criteria.

The applicants demonstrate the ability to develop and deliver the project aims through robust governance arrangements. Risks have been assessed and mitigation measures considered. A project timeline, budget and implementation plan are included in the application. The applicants have previous project management experience.

Full Planning permission for the paved outdoor seating area and Listed Building Consent for the redesign of the library will be sought on receipt of notification of a successful application to the CIM Fund.

Value for Money – Meets criteria with considerations.

Many of the beneficiaries of this project are likely to be significantly impacted by HPC and a substantial number of people would benefit from the project.

It is not clear which services and facilities are already offered at the library (e.g. Wi-Fi, access to laptops, events and workshops, public space, access to resources) and what this project will offer in addition to the services already available. Further information would help to further assess value for money.

Cash match funding is offered by the Town Council £40k, SDC £9800 and SCC £50,000.

Ongoing repairs and maintenance of the library and gardens will be provided by SDC and SCC as would be required by the building and park regardless of the project although can be seen as in-kind support for the project going forwards and enhances the sustainability of the project.

Funding requested from the CIM Fund towards the cash costs of the project (£535,834) is substantial. The CIM contribution towards this is 90%. There are elements of the project that do not relate directly to the mitigation of impacts of the HPC project and potentially duplicate impact mitigation measures already funded by the HPC CIM Fund, this should be reflected in any grant offered.

Costs are estimated and an affordability review once actual costs are established would be required before any funding is released.

Project Name:	Cheddar Community Pavilion
Expression of Interest Ref No:	264
Organisation Applying:	Cheddar Parish Council
Summary of Project:	<p>The project aims to enlarge and improve the existing sports pavilion at Cheddars main playing fields to change its use to a community pavilion, increase the range of activities available and widen its usage to all sections of the community.</p> <p>Funding is required for :</p> <p>Extension to the building, including a bar, kitchen and community hall/sports hall.</p> <p>Improvements to the changing rooms</p>
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	<p>Over the past 2-3 years Batts Combe Quarry in Cheddar has been the largest supplier of stone to HPC (concrete, tarmac, asphalt and scalping). HGVs use the narrow country roads and junctions to and from the quarry (around 150 movements per day) causing congestion, delay, disturbance and dust. The quarry purchased 44 new HGVs to respond to the requirements of the HPC project. This impact was not expected, delays in the construction of the jetty at the HPC site have caused community impacts over a longer period of time.</p> <p>The community of Cheddar is adversely affected by these impacts.</p>
Start Date: September 2019	Total Project Costs: £483,478
Completion Date: May 2020	Amount applied for: £320,000
S106 considerations	
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	Yes
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of Life – Meets Criteria

The project has the potential to significantly improve the quality of life for its service users and a good proportion of the wider community that are relevant and related to the impacts of the HPC development.

The community will benefit from a much wider range of activities that will improve physical and mental health and wellbeing, and encourage the community to interact. The community will have access to local facilities that can be accessed by cycling or walking, reducing the stress associated with travelling by car on congested roads.

Sustainability – Meets Criteria

The application clearly demonstrates and provides evidence of the long term sustainability of the project, how the project will support sustainable communities, promote environmental sustainability and support regeneration.

The project will be supported by the Parish Council who will underwrite any shortfall in income to ensure running costs are met and cover the ongoing running costs and repairs to the building. Further income streams should be explored by the applicants to ensure the building is self-sufficient through annual contributions from the sports clubs and takings from the bar. Any surpluses made should be redirected into community activities.

Extent of Benefit – Meets Criteria

The project will provide a direct benefit for a good number of the community in Cheddar. The project will provide an ongoing legacy for the community and a wide range of users including visitors and businesses in the local area.

The project will extend the benefits to a much wider range of the community as use is currently limited to sports clubs. The applicants have planned a range of activities and uses that will appeal to a wide demographic and will ensure activities meet community needs through their community and user working group.

Community Need – Meets Criteria

The application clearly demonstrates and provides evidence of a significant need for the project in relation to HPC and Local Plans. If the project did not go ahead the community would continue to be adversely affected by the impacts.

Evidence from various reports and directly from community demonstrate the level of impact in the area. The project is an appropriate use of HPC CIM funds to mitigate these impacts.

Community Support – Meet Criteria

The application provides a wide variety of evidence of community support for the project from across the community, including letters of support from individuals, councillors, local businesses, results of community consultation etc. A significant number of the community support the project.

There is strong support from the community who recognise the project as a way to mitigate the impacts of HPC on the local community. Some comments and letters were provided from members of the community that do not support the project (mostly as they would prefer the funding to be spent on road improvements) however it is clear a high proportion of the community welcome the plans for a new pavilion that will be fit for purpose and future proof.

Partner Support – Meets Criteria

The Project demonstrates and evidences support from partners, including letters of support, partners are involved in the fundraising and decision making. Partners include the Rugby and Cricket Clubs, representatives from organisations will be involved in ongoing decision making.

Governance – Meets Criteria

The application demonstrates the applicant's ability to manage and deliver the project. The applicants have previous project management experience, the application demonstrates arrangements for decision making, and provides a project plan and a budget for delivery of the project. Some Risks have been identified. Monitoring arrangements are planned. The applicants should carry out a full risk assessment of the project to include build and operational phases and risks to achievement of planned outcomes and financial sustainability.

Value for Money – Meets Criteria

The project demonstrates good value for money in terms of levels of impact in the area and the number of the community impacted that will benefit from the project. Match funding has been secured for the project from local fundraising and CIL. The total project costs provided are for the construction phase only and do not include the pre-construction costs that have been incurred by the applicants which could be seen as further match funding. The total amount of CIM funding requested is 66% of the total costs.

The applicants add value through volunteering to manage the project and operation, improvement works already carried out to the playing fields, and funding for furniture, kitchen and sports equipment and the construction of a new BMX track using soil from the works.

Costs have been reduced through securing free materials from the quarry. A full tender process will need to be completed and if funding is awarded an affordability review will be required.

Project Name:	Supporting Hinkley Advice Needs	
Expression of Interest Ref No:	140	
Organisation Applying:	Citizens Advice Sedgemoor	
Summary of Project:	<p>The project aims to deliver advice across Sedgemoor in response to changing advice needs. Funding is requested for:</p> <p>One FTE project officer; One part time training officer; Recruitment and training of 40 volunteers; Training for existing workforce in new technology to enable customers to access advice remotely; Purchase of hardware to support new technology; Provision of outreach services in areas impacted by HPC that require face to face advice.</p>	
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	Changing and increasing demand in advice needs due to an increase in population due to HPC workers moving to the area and increased availability of employment opportunities.	
Start Date: 1/12/18	Total Project Costs: £241,715	
Completion Date: 31/12/21	Amount applied for: £180,000	
S106 considerations		
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes	
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes	
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	No	
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes	
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes	
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes	
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes	
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes	

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of life – Meets Criteria

The project has good proposals to significantly improve the quality of life of those using its services directly, the benefit felt by individuals may have an indirect benefit to the wider

community. Quality of life benefits will mostly focus on improved health and wellbeing, financial stability, secure housing or accommodation and integration of non-homebased workers

Sustainability – Meets criteria with considerations

The project will help to support sustainability in the community and promote healthier, stronger and cohesive communities in the areas impacted by HPC in Sedgemoor.

The applicants demonstrate they are working towards the long term sustainability of the project through the development of agile and cost-effective ways of working and through the recruitment and training of a bank of volunteers that will be embedded into their core service delivery at the end of the project.

Further information was requested from the applicants to explain whether the recent cuts to core funding would put the delivery of the project at risks. Applicants were able to give assurance that the project would be deliverable with no additional risk.

Extent of Benefit – Meets criteria with considerations.

The project will provide a direct benefit for some of the community in areas impacted by the HPC project and will also benefit those in the wider community.

It is estimated that 1825 clients per year over three years will benefit from the project. As numbers are estimated evidence of the actual number benefiting should be monitored regularly. Service provision through outreach in impacted areas will be extended as part of the project.

There is the potential for the project to leave a legacy through the ongoing work of an established and well trained volunteer base and improved methods of service delivery.

Community Need – Meets criteria

The application demonstrates a need for the project in relation to the impacts of HPC. Demand for advice services is likely to increase. Existing residents impacted by changes in the community, local housing markets and employment will require enhanced advice and support. Those moving to the area due to the HPC project will increase demand and type of advice required. The project will help to mitigate these impacts by increasing provision of advice services centrally and through outreach and upskilling volunteers to meet changing advice needs.

It is difficult to assess whether the scale of the project is appropriate to increased demand as this is estimated, however it is clear that increase in provision is needed.

Community Support – Considerations

The applicants have not provided evidence of consultation with users or the wider community in relation to the project. The applicants have consulted with a range of organisations and individuals that represent the community including the District and Town Council and letters of support were provided. Letters of support from individuals and Councillors provided with the application related to a previous application for a different project.

Partner Support – Meets criteria with considerations

The applicants are working with a range of partners as part of their core service delivery and on specific projects. Letters of support were provided from several of these partners outlining ways in which they work together and providing support for the project. Evidence of support from some partners such as letters of support would have been helpful if included in the application.

Governance – Meets criteria

The applicants demonstrate governance arrangements that would support the delivery of the projects aims and to monitor success of the project. Project is risk assessed, although this could be widened to include risk to impact mitigation objectives. The application included a business and project plan and budget. Project monitoring measures are planned however these need to be disaggregated by impacted area.

Value for Money – Meets criteria

The project has secured 25% match funding for the project. If the project meets its aims to mitigate impacts over a 3 year period on the most affected communities, meet the demands of new workers, and also develops ways to improve delivery and sustainability of the service the amount requested is appropriate in terms of value for money.

Project Name:	Extension of Kings Fitness and Leisure Centre	
Expression of Interest Ref No:	270	
Organisation Applying:	Kings of Wessex Fitness and Leisure Ltd	
Summary of Project:	<p>The project aims to extend the building to provide additional space for health activities to meet increased demand.</p> <p>Funding is required to:</p> <p>Extend the building (north side) to provide a designated indoor cycling studio and new activity space, including modification and reorganising of the existing administration space and reception areas;</p> <p>Extend the building (south side) to extend the refreshment and relaxation space.</p>	
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	<p>Transportation of stone to HPC from Batts Combe quarry has increased dust in the atmosphere from blasting and extraction, increased heavy traffic in the village causing congestion and delays for those attending the Leisure facilities at Kings Academy. Increased noise impacting on work, study and leisure environments. The project aims to address health and wellbeing.</p>	
Start Date: 1st February 2019	Total Project Costs: £625,000	
Completion Date: 31st May 2019	Amount applied for: £450,000	
S106 considerations		
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes	
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	No	
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	No	
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	No	
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	No	
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	No	
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes	
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	No	

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of Life – Meets criteria

The project has the potential to improve quality of life for its direct service users that are impacted by the HPC development. Quality of life would be improved through the provision

opportunities to take part in health and wellbeing activities resulting in improved fitness, opportunities for socialising, reduction of stress and greater productivity at work and school.

Sustainability – Meets Criteria

The application demonstrates the project will help support sustainable communities and may have some environmental benefits.

The project demonstrates that it is working towards the long term financial sustainability of the project. Projections in year one show an expected increase in running costs for the new extension and if the targets for increased membership are met this will cover these costs and provide a surplus. There are also opportunities to generate further income through increased sales from the new relaxation area and renting out space although no further explanation on this was given.

Extent of Benefit – Considerations

The facilities will primarily benefit those living in the Cheddar area. The project is likely to benefit some of the current 1500 members and new users of the facilities and aims to increase membership by 150-200 each year. The facilities are open to the community with options for membership or pay as you go and are open every day including early morning and evenings.

The range of people that would benefit from the project is limited to those that are interested in accessing this type of facility specifically for exercise purposes and in particular cycling and classes. There is limited scope for wider community use.

It is unclear how the organisation supports disadvantaged members of the community to access their facilities, e.g through discounted or subsidised activities or activities specifically to attract these groups.

The project would leave a legacy for the community.

Community Need - Considerations

The applicants have identified impacts in the community as a result of the HPC project that are affecting quality of life, in particular stress and health and wellbeing. The community is also less able to access services and facilities.

This project is enhancing facilities already on offer that the community are able to access, and although a good number of the community use the facilities this project will not mitigate impacts for members of the community who do not wish to take part in sporting or fitness activities. Therefore impact mitigation is limited.

Community Support – Does not meet criteria

The applicants requested support from their users but no wider community consultation was carried out. Although over 70 users of the current facilities responded positively to the proposed project few highlighted that HPC had impacted on their lifestyle. No other letters of support from the wider community were provided with the application from community representatives, the school, local businesses etc.

Partners Support – Does not meet criteria

The application did not demonstrate or evidence consultation or work with partner organisations or groups.

Governance – Does not meet criteria

Kings Fitness and Leisure Ltd is a subsidiary of Wessex Learning Trust. Although the company is non-profit making it is set up as a Company Ltd by Shares and not as a Community Interest Company or Social Enterprise. Further information on the model would be helpful.

The governance for the project and organisation was unclear and required further explanation. The application did not include a risk assessment. Performance measures are focussed on outputs (number of users and viability of classes). The applicants safeguarding policy is out of date and should be updated as a matter of urgency.

Value for Money (+ Financial viability) – Does not meet criteria

The applicants have not applied for the full amount of project costs and it was unclear where the remaining funds will be sourced from.

The funding requested for the Norths side will provide an extension (cycle studio including air-conditioning and multi-use activity space), alterations to office and storage space, refurbishment including new reception counter, space for a beauty therapy room. Estimates do not include any professional fees or local authority fees, furniture or equipment or contingency. Therefore the total costs are likely be considerably higher, clarity was needed on how these will be funded. The applicants have not provided a QS report or quotes for the south side extension, it is not clear what these have been based on and whether they are realistic.

Project Name:	New Community Gym	
Expression of Interest Ref No:	268	
Organisation Applying:	North Petherton RFC	
Summary of Project:	<p>The project will provide a new community use gym and changing facilities at North Petherton RFC. The aim of the project is to provide a multi use community building that promotes health and wellbeing activities, integration of HPC workers into the community and to reduce traffic on the main transport routes to HPC. The project proposes to add a new facility into an established building to maximise the benefits of the building for the community.</p> <p>Funding is requested for a new building to house the gym, changing rooms and additional rooms for rent.</p>	
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	<p>Two large caravan sites located either side of the rugby club are hosting HPC workers. New housing development in the area is owned or rented by HPC workers. The new housing and caravan parks have little or no community facilities. Increase in traffic and congestion make accessing similar facilities in Bridgwater difficult. Growing populations need local facilities to develop into cohesive communities.</p>	
Start Date: March 2019	Total Project Costs: £429,000	
Completion Date: October 2019	Amount applied for: £329,00	
S106 considerations		
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes	
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes considerations	
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	No	
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes	
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes	
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes	
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes	
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes	

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of life – Meets criteria

The project proposed could significantly improve quality of life for its direct service users in terms of promoting active lifestyles, providing links to wider community activities and groups, reducing the need to travel to access similar facilities in congested traffic, promoting a cohesive community and creating employment opportunities. GP referral schemes for gym use and physiotherapy will also provide benefits in terms of health and wellbeing.

Sustainability – Meets criteria

The application demonstrates the project will help support and promote sustainable communities. The applicants have planned energy efficiency and reduction of carbon footprint into the design and operation of the facilities.

The application demonstrates that the project has the ability to be financially sustainable in the long term. Although projections are based on conservative estimates projected income will cover all running costs and includes budgets for ongoing maintenance, repairs and replacements which will help to ensure the financial sustainability of the facilities and help to support community use of the wider facilities.

Extent of Benefits – Meets criteria with considerations

The project will provide a direct benefit for a proportion of the impacted community and will increase provision of facilities that will be accessible to HPC workers living locally. Users of the gym (conservative estimates 200 pa), those attending the gym for GP referrals, community groups and those attending exercise classes will use the facilities available. Other users may also benefit such as those involved in rugby. The facilities may also be used by those living in the wider community beyond North Petherton.

The project would benefit a larger number of the community if consideration was given to pay as you go options and offering discounts or subsidies to those that are disadvantaged and would benefit from increased physical activity, such as those on low incomes, older people and those with disabilities or health problems. The project would leave a sustainable legacy for the community.

Community Need – Meet criteria

The community is impacted by the HPC development and the project is directly related to the mitigation of the impacts, and maximises opportunities from population growth to support community facilities. The project does not duplicate other local facilities and compliments provision of health and wellbeing services such as GP referrals.

Community Support – Meets criteria

The current facilities are well supported and used by the local community. Letters of support were provided by the applicants from various community representatives.

Partner Support - Considerations

The application demonstrates support for the project from at least one other partner. SASP are supporting the design and delivery of the project and will support with operation of the gym.

Governance – Meets criteria

The application demonstrates the applicant's ability to manage and deliver the project effectively. The applicants have good governance arrangements in place and have evidence of previous similar project management experience. The application explain arrangements for decision making, and provides a project plan and a budget for delivery of the project. Some risks have been identified, although no risk assessment was provided. The applicants have planned methods of monitoring project outputs and outcomes. Planning permission has been granted previously but this needs to be renewed.

Value for money - Considerations

The project has secured £100k match funding, therefore the CIM funding requested is 77% of the total project costs. The applicants add value through partnership involvement and volunteer time. The project maximises benefits through sharing resources and staff with the wider rugby club and community building. The applicants are applying for further funding for gym equipment which will add value.

The gym will provide direct benefit to around 200+ people per year (plus those using the physio services) although the impacts on individuals health and wellbeing could be will be significant. The changing rooms will benefit a much larger number of people including those attending clubs, fitness classes and those involved in rugby.

Financial viability - The applicants need to consider contingency for the build project.

Project Name:	Thurloxton Village Hall Improvements	
Expression of Interest Ref No:	260	
Organisation Applying:	Thurloxton Village Hall	
Summary of Project:	<p>The project aims to refurbish and expand the village hall to improve cohesion in the community, alleviate loneliness and isolation, increase the number of local people benefiting from the hall and reduce the need to travel into Bridgwater to access opportunities.</p> <p>Funding is required for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rebuild of annex to create an extension • Refurbishment of the main hall • Refurbishment of toilets and new disabled facilities • Roofing and damp proofing • New kitchen • New windows, doors and heating 	
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	<p>Respondents to a community survey state that their lives are impacted by the increased traffic, congestion and delays in Bridgwater which they believe is as a result of the HPC vehicle movement and associated works. This affects access to services and activities and creates issues with road safety and quality of life in the village.</p>	
Start Date: Spring/Summer 2019	Total Project Costs: £176,264	
Completion Date: Autumn/Winter 2018	Amount applied for: £50,000	
S106 considerations		
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes	
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes	
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	No	
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Unsure	
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes	
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes	
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes	
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes	

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of Life - Meets criteria

The application has good proposals that will improve quality of life for a good proportion of the local community. Quality of life issues have been raised by the community relating to impacts of HPC, the project has the potential to offer new activities and facilities that will offer solutions to these quality of life issues in particular through the provision of social and leisure clubs, events, toddler and youth groups and exercise classes.

Sustainability – Meets criteria

The project would help to promote sustainability in the community through the provision of activities that support cohesion, promote health and wellbeing and learning of new skills. The applicants have considered environmental sustainability in the design of the new building and through the reduction of vehicles on the road.

In terms of financial sustainability the applicants have provided a reasonable forecast of increased income to ensure the project is financially sustainable and have considered ongoing repair costs in their financial planning. The applicants have considered plans to promote the building to ensure income is generated and have included plans for advertising and sponsorship to help support the project. The village hall is self-supporting and receives a small annual grant from the Parish Council.

Extent of Benefit – Meets criteria with considerations

The project will provide a direct benefit for a small community (approx. 160 dwellings) that feel they are significantly affected by issues of increased traffic volume in Bridgwater and through the village. The projects will provide benefits to the community in the short term and will leave a long term legacy for the community.

Community Need – Meets criteria with considerations

The applicants have provided feedback from their community in relation to the impacts of increased traffic in Bridgwater over recent years, and this feedback demonstrates strong feeling from the community that their quality of life has been and is being affected. Congestion in Bridgwater is diverting traffic through minor roads in the area. These impact are creating issues with segregation and increased isolation as well as a reduction in access to walking, cycling and services and facilities in Bridgwater.

Although there may be various factors contributing to increased traffic in the town HPC related traffic is identified as a major factor in this, and the community has identified that although not directly on the main transport route they are being affected by this issue. The project is an appropriate way to mitigate these impacts by providing more localised services and facilities.

Community Support – Meets criteria

The application provides a variety of evidence of community support from across the community, e.g. including letters of support from individuals, local businesses, results of community

consultation etc. A significant number of the community support the project as a way of mitigating the identified impacts.

Partner Support – Considerations

The applicants are not working in partnership with other organisations on the project, however they are engaging with local groups on the design of the project and activities that will be available and work with the Community Council for Somerset to ensure their organisation is robust and the hall meets Hallmark standards.

Governance – Meets criteria

The applicants demonstrate their ability to manage and deliver the project effectively. The applicants have previous project management experience, and have good arrangements for decision making, supported by the Community Council for Somerset. The application includes a business plan, project plan and budget. A full risk assessment should be completed in relation to the delivery of the project, ongoing operation, delivery of outcomes for the community and financial sustainability.

Value for money – Meets criteria

The community feel significantly adversely impacted by the increased traffic in Bridgwater as a result of the HPC project. The amount of money requested is appropriate to the level of impact, the number of the community that will benefit from the project and the length of time the community will benefit from the project.

Project Name:	Environmental Enhancements of Victoria Ward, Bridgwater
Expression of Interest Ref No:	267
Organisation Applying:	Sedgemoor District Council
Summary of Project:	<p>The project aims to enhance three green spaces in the Victoria Ward:</p> <p>Area 1 Linear Walking/Cycling Route (adjacent to Countess Avenue/Duke Street) a new Trim Trail /agility equipment for young families.</p> <p>Area 2 Open Space adjacent to Crowpill Lane Play Area - tree planting, hedgerow, shrub planting and new seating.</p> <p>Area 3 Open Space adjacent to Meadowlands/Rambler Way - Play equipment to complement existing seating and trees. Planting of shrubs and wildflower grass.</p> <p>Signage at various points.</p>
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	HPC traffic travels along the NDR from J23 and the Park and Ride Freight Management facility. Lorry movements along this route are approx. 216 per day. Additional HPC related traffic along this route include supply chain transport and workforce buses (137 per day). A significant number of workers have settled in the Bridgwater area.
Start Date: 14/1/19	Total Project Costs: £93,238.99
Completion Date: 31/3/19	Amount applied for: £74,915
S106 considerations	
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Considerations
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	Yes
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Considerations
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Considerations
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes with considerations

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of Life – Meets Criteria

The project will have some quality of life benefits for those that use the route as a cut through or walking route and those using the open space and play equipment for exercise and play. It is not likely that the project will have a significant benefit in terms of encouraging integration of new workers into the area. Enhancements and signage have the potential to encourage more walking and cycling in the area. Improved planting will improve the aesthetics of the area.

Sustainability – Meets Criteria

The application demonstrates the project will help support and promote healthy communities. The applicants have considered the impacts on the environment by encouraging people into active travel behaviours and reducing the use of cars in the area.

The application demonstrates the financial sustainability of the enhancements and play equipment for at least 5 years.

Extent of Benefit – Considerations

The project will provide a direct benefit for some of the community.

The project will mainly benefit those living in Wembdon that walk to the town centre, and those living in Victoria and Newton. Approximately 160 people per day currently use the route into the town centre. The applicants estimate that this will double at peak construction. Meadow Lands and Crowpill Avenue are currently underused, the project aims to increase use of this area through the new equipment on offer and the number of people likely to use these areas is unclear at this stage. Although the application aims to provide facilities for workers and their families to encourage integration and exercise the benefit are likely to be felt by a limited number.

Community Need - Considerations

There are significant impacts on the community due to the increase in traffic in the area.

The project mainly aims to improve the aesthetics and signage in the area to encourage walking, cycling and use of the open spaces and to provide play equipment as a draw to the area to encourage use.

Significant HPC CIM Fund have been invested into projects in the area to improve open spaces, provide improved cycle and walking routes and provide opportunities for physical activity.

Therefore the project has the potential to duplicate these mitigation measures, funding may lead to an over provision of mitigation of the impacts identified. This should be explored and taken into account when awarding funding.

Community Support – Meets criteria with considerations

The application provides some evidence of community support from users, there is no evidence of support from elements of the wider community, however community representatives support the project.

The applicants carried out consultation with current users and with the local school and Community Centre. The majority of those responded that they would like play equipment in the area, there was less support for the enhancements of the routes and signage. Only current users were consulted with, therefore it is difficult to gauge whether non-users would support or use the enhanced routes or facilities. Letters of support were provided from the School, SCC, Councillors and the Town Council as representatives of the community.

Partner Support – Meets criteria

The application demonstrates and evidences a range of support from partners, and include letters of support from partners outlining their involvement in the project. SCC are making a financial contribution for the signage, the project will link with the SASP Active Spaces project, most partners will be involved in promotion of the enhanced routes and play equipment.

Governance – Meets criteria

The application demonstrates the applicant's ability to develop and deliver the project and to monitor success of the project through robust governance arrangements. Project risks have been assessed and a risk assessment provided. The application includes a business plan, project timeline and budget. The applicants have experience of managing similar projects. Further monitoring measures relating to impact mitigation such as increase in active travel, less use of vehicles and improved community relationships would be required if funding is granted.

Value for Money - Considerations

The total costs of the equipment, enhancements and signage is £89,737, therefore the match funding request from the CIM Fund is 83%. The applicants add value through promotional activity funded by the SDC Healthy Lifestyles Budget and the ongoing maintenance of the enhancements and equipment over a 5 year period.

Significant HPC CIM Fund have been invested into projects in the area that improve open spaces, enhance walking and cycling routes and encourage physical activity, therefore consideration should be made to the value of further investment into the mitigation of impacts of congestion through this type of project.

It is not clear whether the additionality provided by the enhancements is an appropriate response to impact mitigation

Project Name:	Stogursey Victory Hall
Expression of Interest Ref No:	17
Organisation Applying:	Stogursey and District Village Hall Committee
Summary of Project:	The project aims to provide a new Community Hall that is fit for the future and provides improved facilities and new activities for the growing needs of the community closest to the HPC site. The project aims to provide facilities for educational, recreational, sports, wellbeing and social activities for all ages. Funding is required to close the current funding gap for the redesigned project and to ensure the project is able to go ahead.
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	The HPC project has the most significant impact on residents of Stogursey. 40 buses per day use the narrow roads through the village. All HPC traffic accesses the site through the parish. New residents park along the streets creating further issues. The environment is affected in some areas with noise and light pollution. New members of the community need to integrate with the resident population. Over 40 workers are living in private rented accommodation and the campus in the parish has 500 bed spaces available for worker use.
Start Date: 3 December 2018	Total Project Costs: £1,500,380
Completion Date: 13th December 2019	Amount applied for: £110,000
S106 considerations	
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	Yes
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

The original application included an extension to the existing building and new youth club building with total estimated costs of £2.4m. The applicants have redesigned the project to include demolition of the old building and lower cost, energy efficient new build with changing rooms. The current youth club building will be retained and funding has been raised recently to refurbish and improve the building to complement the new community building.

Quality of Life – Meets Criteria

The project will radically improve the quality of life of its service users and the wider community in relation to the impacts of HPC. The applicants aim to provide a range of educational, recreational, sport and social activities that will alleviate the impacts of HPC on quality of life. The activities will reduce isolation and severance from services and facilities, improve physical and mental health, create a stronger sense of community and integrate new people into the parish.

Sustainability – Meets Criteria with considerations.

The application demonstrates the project will help support and promote stronger sustainable communities. The applicants have planned energy efficiency and reduction of carbon footprint into the design and operation of the facilities.

Basic cost projections for new building have been estimated by the applicants based on use and costs relating to the current building with estimated increases for running the new building. The projection shows that the building could be sustainable in the longer term, includes ongoing maintenance and repairs of the building and estimates a small surplus each year.

The budget does not specifically show a contribution from the football club for use of the building, or income from takings from the bar and kitchen. A more detailed 3 year cost projection showing calculation of estimates and growth over 3 years would be required if funding is granted.

Extent of Benefit – Meets Criteria

Project will provide a transformational benefit directly to the majority of the impacted community and will benefit the wider geographical area in both the short and long term. The project builds capacity in community and has the potential to provide a long term legacy for the community.

Community Need – Meets Criteria

The application clearly demonstrates and provides evidence of a significant need for the project in relation to the impacts of HPC. If this project did not go ahead the community will continue to be significantly adversely affected.

The community has been and will continue to be significantly impacted by the HPC project, the project will help to mitigate these impacts and maximise opportunities of the HPC project for people in Stogursey.

Community Support – Meets Criteria

The application provides a wide variety of evidence of community support from across the community, e.g. including letters of support from individuals, councillors, local businesses, school and results of extensive community consultation. A significant number of the community support the project.

Partner Support – Meets criteria.

The application mentions support and involvement from a range of agencies and partners, in the design of activities and services from the building. The applicants work with other village halls in the area and have project management support from EDF.

Governance – Considerations

The application contains a business plan, project plan and budget, risks have been assessed with management measures planned. The applicants have considered ways to monitor the outcomes of the project and promote the project and the activities on offer.

There are some concerns over the decision making and financial management of the project, the committee is small and runs a risk of single points of failure. There is also the need for a new treasurer with skills and experience to manage the complex project and funding finances. To ensure the success of the project further support should be sought by the applicants.

Value for Money – Meets criteria with considerations

The funding requested by the applicants will increase the total amount of HPC s106 contributions to the project to £1,350,000. The total amount requested is a significant investment into a single project however the significance of the impact in the area, the lack of opportunity due to location and community resource to invest in other impact mitigation projects is limited, the number of beneficiaries in the community will be a high proportion and the project will have a transformational benefit to the area.

The applicants are adding value to the project with new match funding, local fundraising and those involved in delivery of the project and ongoing operation are volunteers and improvements to the grounds and youth club building.

Project Name:	Watchet Bowling Club, Gym and Community Facility
Expression of Interest Ref No:	198
Organisation Applying:	Watchet Bowling Club
Summary of Project:	<p>The project will provide new community and sporting facilities and social activities for all ages increase health and wellbeing, and improve the quality of life for the local community and new HPC workers and their families moving into the area.</p> <p>Funding is required towards the capital build element of the project including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demolition of existing buildings • New build replacement including a community hall, open access gym, bar area, catering kitchen, disabled facilities and changing rooms • New build indoor bowling green with two rinks • External works to reconfigure the car parking and access to the club
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	<p>Impacts are being felt by the community of Watchet in terms of housing, transport, amenities and community cohesion.</p> <p>Some workers and their families are moving into the area. New housing development and the Washford Cross park and ride will attract more workers to the area.</p> <p>Current sporting, social and leisure facilities will not meet the demand of new residents.</p>
Start Date: 1/6/2019	Total Project Costs: £1,696,878
Completion Date: 21/12/2020	Amount applied for: £300,000
S106 considerations	
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes (with considerations)
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	Yes
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes (with considerations)
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes (with considerations)

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of Life: Meets criteria

If project achieves its aims it would have a significant impact on quality of life for local people through the offer of large community space and the provision of a range of community activities and events and opportunities to improve health and wellbeing.

Sustainability – Clarification needed

The project has good plans to promote community sustainability and cohesiveness.

Detailed work and planning has been carried out to provide financial forecasts for the project during its first 3 years of operation to ensure its financial viability and sustainability long term. Some clarity was sought on elements of the financial forecasting, costs and delivery of educational activities as part of the project.

Extent of Benefit – Meets criteria

The project will provide a direct benefit for a good number of the community and will benefit the wider community in both the short and long term. The project will provides an ongoing legacy for the community. The applicants have developed a steering group to ensure that activities provided in the community building reflect diverse community needs and are affordable. The gym will appeal to a range of local users and will be affordably priced. The new bowling rink will appeal to those that are interested in the sport and the project aims to increase this number.

Community Need – Meets criteria with considerations

The applicants have gone to considerable lengths to evidence the need for a new indoor bowling rink, gym and community facilities in the area through market analysis and extensive community consultation.

It is clearly demonstrated that the community is growing particularly due to the local housing development in the area and that additional community facilities are required to meet this need. The main driver for this project is general growth in the local population due to new housing development however it is likely that some HPC workers and their families will be attracted to this area and that housing developers have been attracted to build in this area due to the HPC development resulting in an indirect impact on the community.

Sporting provision has been well supported by the HPC CIM fund and Hinkley Leisure fund as reported to the Board and therefore provision of funding for aspects of this project that support sports provision should be carefully considered.

Community Support – Meets Criteria.

The applications provides a wide variety of evidence of community support from across the community including letters of support. Results of extensive community consultation indicate the highest priority for the town is a suitably sized community centre. There is also a good level of support for the gym and fitness classes from across the community.

Partner Support - Meets Criteria.

The applicants have engaged a range of partners to support in the design and delivery of the project, business planning and ongoing operation.

Governance – Meets Criteria.

The application includes a clear description of the governance arrangements during delivery of the project and ongoing operation describing arrangements for decision making, reporting and accountability. A Building Committee and Steering Group have been established. Processes for monitoring the project's success will be developed if funding is approved. Promotion plans will be developed by the venue co-ordinator. If approved, the development of monitoring and promotional plans should be conditions of funding.

Value for Money - Considerations

If the total funding required for the project is secured the project will draw a considerable amount of match funding into the area (circa £1.2m). The applicants have raised £38,500 through local fundraising. In terms of value for money for the HPC CIM fund and impact mitigation, the community is currently experiencing some impacts from the HPC development which may increase due to the park and ride at Washford Cross. The main driver for a project of this scale in Watchet is the increase in housing development locally. Also the sporting element of the project may not represent best value as sporting provision locally has been well supported by HPC s106 contributions. The amount of any grant offered should take into account value for money and be reflective of the match funding potential, impact on the area, legacy for the community and support for other sporting projects in the area.

Project Name:	West Somerset Sports Centre and Gym Equipment	
Expression of Interest Ref No:	269	
Organisation Applying:	West Somerset College	
Summary of Project:	The project aims to replace all gym equipment (spin bikes, exercise mats, weights etc.) that will be removed at the end of the current lease contract with the current operators of the Centre. The project aims to ensure the impacted community are able to continue to access gym facilities in Minehead.	
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	Hinkley employees are travelling daily from the Minehead area. Estimates show that 7-10% of the workforce are travelling from Minehead to the HPC site by bus. This will increase as the project reaches peak. Additional traffic adds to congestion on the A39 to Williton and Bridgwater. If the facilities were to close users would have to travel to Taunton or Bridgwater to access similar facilities. HPC workers and families may wish to be involved in sport and leisure opportunities at the sports centre.	
Start Date: April 2019	Total Project Costs: £145,002.51	
Completion Date: Sept 2019	Amount applied for: £57,094	
S106 considerations		
Does the project attract additional funding?	No	
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes	
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	No	
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes	
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes	
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Considerations	
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes	
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes considerations	

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of Life – Meets Criteria

The project has good proposals to ensure current facilities continue to be used by the community. The project will help the community to access exercise facilities, will encourage healthy and active lifestyles, provide opportunities to join clubs, integrate and socialise and reduce congestion through the provision of local amenities. The project will also provide local employment and support career development in the sport and administration sectors.

Sustainability – Considerations

The application demonstrates the project will help support and promote healthy sustainable communities. The applicants have considered the impacts on the environment by reducing the need for people to use vehicles to access facilities elsewhere.

The financial sustainability of the project should be considered as the cost projections provided with the business plan show areas of risk in terms of the viability of the overall operation. The business plans shows the need to begin operation with 500 paying monthly members in place to meet costs, and provides a very smaller buffer if membership and income targets are not met. The applicants have not projected costs for pay as you go customers, discounted membership or hire of the building for events which could generate additional income.

Extent of Benefit – Meet Criteria with considerations

The project will provide a direct benefit for a good number of the community in Minehead and those travelling to Minehead to access gym facilities.

It is estimated that 500 members will benefit, also pupils and staff at the college. Beneficiaries will include those not using the gym facilities on offer elsewhere in Minehead. The facilities will be available for use by the wider community during evenings, weekends and holidays with some daytime provision during term time.

The business plan does not include provision for pay as you go options for those that find the monthly membership unaffordable, or discounts to attract disadvantaged members of the community that would benefit from increased levels of activity.

Community Need – Considerations

The application demonstrates some community need for this project in terms of impacts of HPC on the local community and the benefits it will provide for a significant number of the community and young people that may be impacted by HPC development.

However there is the potential that funding for gym equipment may duplicate funding provided for other gym, sport and leisure facilities in the Minehead and surrounding areas.

It is clear that the loss of this facility will affect quality of life for impacted communities and that the main areas of income generation to keep the centre viable are from the gym and exercise classes.

Community Support - Considerations

The application provides some evidence of community support but some elements of the community are missing.

The applicants consulted with club users groups in February 2018 that resulted in support for the ongoing development of the sports centre. Some letters of support were provided by community groups and representatives. No evidence of wider consultation (with members and non-members) on the project or funding application was provided with the application.

Partner Support – Meets criteria

The application evidences partnership working arrangements that will add value to the project and who will be involved in the design and delivery of activities across the centre.

Governance – Meets criteria with considerations

The application demonstrates that a governance structure is planned for the new trading company. The application included a basic business plan and 3 year costs projections. The applicant have identified financial risks to leasing the full costs of the equipment required however a full risk assessment was not provided in the application. Performance measures have been suggested but need further development.

The new trading company will need to develop a governing document and its own policies, due diligence would need to be carried out on these to ensure robust governance arrangements for the new organisation can be evidenced.

Value for Money – Considerations

The applicants are applying for 39% of the overall costs of purchasing the equipment. The applicants add value to the project through the provision and improvement of wider facilities, staffing and management.

It is not clear how the applicants have considered value for money when selecting the provider of the equipment.

HPC CIM and Leisure funds have been invested into sport and leisure facilities in Minehead and the surrounding areas, therefore there is the potential for the CIM fund to overprovide impact mitigation measures in relation to impacts relating to increased demand for facilities.

There is clearly significant value for a good number of the community if the facility is able to continue operating and the project has the potential to benefit members of the community that are impacted by the HPC development and who may not access other CIM Funded activities. Membership targets of 500 paying members per month will need to be met from day one to ensure running costs are covered. The Business Plan shows a small £4000 buffer for the first year, which the applicants aim to invest in refurbishment. The applicants will be contributing to a sinking fund however is planned for the purchase of gym equipment to replaced leased equipment.

The cost projections for the organisation highlight potentially significant risks to the viability of the overall operation, and therefore place a risk on any contribution from the CIM Fund.

Project Name:	Accessible Shooting Sports for all - Range Upgrade Project - Phase 1	
Expression of Interest Ref No:	259	
Organisation Applying:	Williton RBL Target Shooting Club	
Summary of Project:	<p>Provision of a new firing point building and accessible onsite parking, works will enable a further phase to enhance the facilities available to the community for social activity and fundraising.</p> <p>Funding is required to upgrade the existing 50m range to enable different shooting distances, free space for use as a level car park and enable works for Phase 2 (site levelling, regrade of entrance and mains water connection) which will provide a new building with an enclosed firing point and rebuild of the clubhouse.</p> <p>The project aims to provide a first class sports facility allowing users of all ages and abilities to train, compete and achieve in this sport.</p>	
Impacts mitigated as stated in application:	Due to its location Williton is seeing an increase in population and with the HPC Washford Cross park and ride this is likely to increase. Rises in traffic during the development will increase congestion (especially at the junction of the A39 and A358), lower air quality near to the road and increase footfall in the village. The impacts will increase stress.	
Start Date: 2018	Total Project Costs: £33,754	
Completion Date: 2018	Amount applied for: £23,000	
S106 considerations		
Does the project attract additional funding?	Yes	
Does the award reflect the actual or potential impact in that geography?	Yes	
Is it a community priority identified in community plan	Yes (young people)	
Is it aligned with local authority plans?	Yes	
Is it an appropriate response to achieve mitigation of the identified impacts (taking into account value for money)?	Yes (with considerations)	
Can it demonstrate overall cost effectiveness?	Yes	
Does it contribute towards developing and maintaining sustainable communities throughout the area of impact?	Yes (with considerations)	
Does it compliment other s106 measures and partner practises?	Yes	

CIM Fund Manager Comments:

Quality of Life – Considerations

The project will enhance quality of life for those using the range and its facilities, which includes people from all backgrounds and physical abilities, especially young people and provides chances not available elsewhere within a significant distance. Those that use the facilities benefit from social interaction, competition and some have benefited from advancing to national competitions. Although it may provide some benefits for the wider community these are not significant in relation to impacts of HPC.

Sustainability – Meets Criteria

The project has elements that will help to improve cohesiveness in the community and teach new skills.

The project demonstrates that it is working towards the long term sustainability of the project by increasing membership and responding to requests for expansion from the community. The organisation is self-funding and has been sustainable for many years.

Extent of Benefit – Meets Criteria with considerations

The project will provide a direct benefit for some of the community and will benefit the wider community in both the short and long term.

For a small club the catchment covers a wide area due to lack of similar facilities elsewhere across Sedgemoor, West Somerset and Taunton Deane. Once phase 2 of the project is complete the catchment and demographic of users will widen further. The project offers an activity that appeals to young people and is used by several large and small youth groups on a regular and semi-regular basis as well as individuals.

Community Need – Considerations

The application demonstrates some need for this project in relation to the HPC development, and in particular the need to offer activities in the area that appeal to younger people.

Expanding the facility to increase its appeal to a wider range of people and abilities will provide an impacted community with a further leisure offer.

Community Support – Considerations

The application demonstrates support from various youth based groups and the local school.

The parish council have been consulted on the application and support the project. No wider community consultation has been carried out, although the size of project and amount of funding requested can be taken into consideration. Letters of support from users, user groups, potential users and the wider community could have been used to provide further evidence of support.

Partner Support – Considerations

The application did not demonstrate wider partner support, although working with local youth organisations could be evidence of working with partners. The project does not lend itself to partnership involvement due to its nature and size, however there are opportunities to work with other groups that could be explored.

Governance – Meets Criteria with considerations

The application demonstrates the organisations ability to manage and deliver project effectively. The applicants have previous project management experience, arrangements for decision making are described, and the application includes a project plan and a budget. A focused risk assessment was not included in the application. The applicants have plans to monitor success and promote the project.

Value for Money - Considerations

Match funding has been secured for the project (32% £10,295). The project is relatively low in cost and the applicants are not requesting a significant amount of funding, the project will also enable a further phase which aims to draw in further external funding. The applicants have already contributed £15k to the planning and preparation as further match funding and have contributed a significant amount of volunteer time.

The project is in an area impacted and likely to be further impacted by the HPC site, although sport and leisure projects have been well supported by the HPC CIM fund and Hinkley Leisure Fund in Williton this type of activity particularly appeals to young people for which there is a shortage of activities currently.

Appendix 2: HPC Community Impact Mitigation Fund Approval Balances							
	TOTAL	West Somerset	Sedgemoor	Cannington	1st Annual payment	2nd Annual Payment	Stogursey
	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
CIM Fund Received(including Inflation Uplift)	7,200,000	2,000,000	1,000,000	500,000	1,600,000	1,600,000	500,000
Inflation Uplift	758,027	134,529	67,265	33,632	151,749	337,220	33,632
TOTAL Received	7,958,027	2,134,529	1,067,265	533,632	1,751,749	1,937,220	533,632
<u>Less previously approved allocation</u>							
Stogursey Parish Council - Burgage Road Play Area	(90,373)	(90,373)					
Stogursey Earplug Scheme	(2,087)						(2,087)
Victory Hall	(200,000)						(200,000)
Wembdon Village Hall - New VH & Play Area	(250,000)		(250,000)				
Somerset Youth & Community Sailing Association	(9,600)		(9,600)				
Tropiquaria - Relocation of primates	(40,000)	(40,000)					
Tropiquaria - Relocation of play area	(37,350)	(37,350)					
Porlock Shellfish Project	(800)	(800)					
Westfield Street Café	(110,000)		(110,000)				
Williton Bowling Club	(13,000)	(13,000)					
Kilve Cricket Club	(22,000)	(22,000)					
Onion Collective	(243,120)	(243,119)					
Williton Parish Council	(250,000)	(250,000)					
Stogursey Football Club	(750)	(750)					
North Petherton Playing Fields	(46,000)		(46,000)				

	TOTAL	West Somerset	Sedgemoor	Cannington	1st Annual payment	2nd Annual Payment	Stogursey
	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
SDC - Sydenham Together	(60,000)		(60,000)				
Tropiquaria - Marketing	(1,000)	(1,000)					
Bridgwater Education Trust	(18,295)		(18,295)				
Sydenham and Bower FHWG	(200,000)		(200,000)				
Cannington Village Hall	(186,186)			(186,186)			
Victoria Park Community Centre	(14,524)		(14,524)				
Watchet War Memorial Pavilion	(7,500)	(7,500)					
Otterhampton Parish Play Area	(37,820)				(37,820)		
Bridgwater Doctors Cricket Club	(1,000)			(1,000)			
Stogursey and District Victory Hall	(400,000)	(400,000)					
Stogursey and District Victory Hall	(130,000)						(130,000)
Greenways and Cycle Routes Ltd	(65,000)				(65,000)		
West Somerset Council - Employments Hub	(57,036)	(57,036)					
Bridgwater Town Centre Support Scheme	(116,070)		(116,070)				
Southern Bridgwater and North Petherton Mitigation Scheme	(344,850)		(242,776)		(102,074)		
Watchet Arts Group	(1,000)	(1,000)					
YMCA SC Beach Hotel	(12,500)	(12,500)					
Steam Coast Trail (Phase 2)	(331,710)	(331,710)					
Enterprising Minehead	(501,688)	(501,688)					
Salavation Army Youth Space	(19,745)				(19,745)		

	TOTAL	West Somerset	Sedgemoor	Cannington	1st Annual payment	2nd Annual Payment	Stogursey
	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
Bridgwater Chamber of Commerce	(79,289)				(79,289)		
Somerset EBP	(393,849)				(393,849)		
Holford and District Village Hall	(125,000)	(124,703)			(297)		
Minehead Town Council	(382,047)				(382,047)		
SASP	(112,235)				(112,235)		
Somerset Wildlife Trust	(159,035)				(159,035)		
YMCA Somerset Coast - GWH	(500,000)				(400,358)	(99,642)	
Minehead Eye	(71,150)					(71,150)	
Bridgwater Foodbank	(110,000)					(110,000)	
Cannington Parish Council	(182,952)			(182,952)			
On Your Bike	(73,000)					(73,000)	
Fiddington Village Hall	(69,000)					(69,000)	
Holford Village Hall	(30,000)					(30,000)	
Current Committed Balance	(6,108,561)	(2,134,529)	(1,067,265)	(370,138)	(1,751,749)	(452,792)	(332,087)
Current Uncommitted Balance	1,849,466	-	-	163,494	-	1,484,428	201,545
<u>Less Requested approvals</u>							
Burnham on Sea and Highbridge Town Council	(350,000)					(350,000)	
Cheddar Parish Council	(320,000)					(320,000)	
Citizens Advice Sedgemoor	(180,000)					(180,000)	

	TOTAL		West Somerset	Sedgemoor	Cannington	1st Annual payment	2nd Annual Payment	Stogursey
	£		£	£	£	£	£	£
North Petherton Rugby Club	(300,000)						(300,000)	
Stogursey and District Victory Hall Committee	(110,000)						(110,000)	
Sedgemoor District Council (Victoria Park)	(51,428)						(51,428)	
Watchet Bowling Club	(150,000)						(150,000)	
Williton RBL Shooting Club	(23,000)						(23,000)	
	365,038		-	-	163,494	-	-	201,545