APPEALS RECEIVED FOR COMMITTEE AGENDA - 14 AUGUST 2013

APPEAL NO	PROPOSAL	APPLICATION NUMBER
APP/D3315/A/13/2200053	ERECTION OF DWELLING ON LAND AT BIBORS HILL, WATERROW	09/12/0013
APP/D3315/C/13/2199572	UNAUTHORISED BUSINESS RUNNING FROM FARTHINGS FARM, LIPE HILL LANE, COMEYTROWE, TAUNTON	E/0122/42/12

APPEAL DECISIONS FOR COMMITTEE AGENDA - 14 AUGUST 2013

APPEAL	PROPOSAL	REASON(S) FOR INITIAL	APPLICATION	INSPECTOR'S REMARKS
		DECISION	NUMBER	
APP/D3315/A/13/2	OUTLINE PLANNING	,	14/12/0039	The Inspector found that the
194111	APPLICATION FOR	recognised limits of a designated		proposed development would
	THE ERECTION OF A	settlement in open countryside		neither deliver affordable housing
	DWELLING IN THE	where it is the policy of the Local		to meet an identified local need
	GARDEN OF	Planning Authority to resist new		nor satisfy any of the other
	HEATHCOTE, CREECH	housing development unless it is		exceptions that apply in rural
	ST MICHAEL	demonstrated that the proposal		areas, such as in relation to
		serves a genuine need for a rural		agricultural workers' dwellings.
		workers dwelling . In the opinion of		As such, the development would
		the Local Planning Authority the		harm the rural character and
		site is not suitable for housing as		quality of the local environment,
		the proposal does not constitute a		in contravention of CS Policies
		genuine essential need for a rural		DM 1 and CP 8.
		worker and the proposal would		
		detract from the character of the		This is not a sustainable location
		surrounding environment. The		for new housing having regard to
		proposal is contrary to Taunton		the provisions of the National
		Deane Core Strategy Policies		Planning Policy Framework and
		DM1, DM2 and CP8 and advice		CS Policy DM 1.
		given in Paragraph 55 of the		The proposed development would
		National Planning Policy		The proposed development would
		Framework.		not comply with national and
		The proposed development would		local planning policies on sustainable development in rural
		foster a growth in the need to		areas.
		travel and would therefore be		ai cas.
		<u> </u>		

contrary to government advice	The fact that permitted
given in NPPF, and to the	development rights might allow
provisions of Policies STR1 and	the erection of large curtilage
STR6 of the Somerset and Exmoor	structures on the site in no way
National Park Joint Structure Plan	justifies the erection of a new
Review 1991-2011 (Adopted: April	dwelling.
2000).	
	In conclusion, the Inspector's
	findings provide clear and
	compelling reasons why the
	development should not be
	permitted. Therefore the appeal
	does not succeed.