<u>APPEAL DECISIONS FOR COMMITTEE AGENDA – 17 FEBRUARY 2015</u>

APPEAL	PROPOSAL	REASON(S) FOR INITIAL DECISION	APPLICATION NUMBER	INSPECTOR'S REMARKS
APP/D3315/A/14/ 2226157	ERECTION OF 1 NO. DETACHED DWELLING, ASSOCIATED AMENITY SPACE AND SINGLE GARAGE, IN THE GARDEN AT THE REAR OF 65 PRIORSWOOD ROAD, TAUNTON	The proposed dwelling would result in an incongruous development due to its design and prominent position which would be out of keeping with the pattern of development in the area and would	_	The Inspector considered the main issues to be the effect of the proposal on the character and appearance of the area and the effect of additional parking on highway safety. Taking all matters into account, the Inspector found that, due to its scale, design and location, the proposed new dwelling would represent a cramped form of development which would appear incongruous with the overall character of the area. In addition, having regard to the likely car use generated by this proposal, the lack of on-site car parking provided and the potential parking locations on the public highway, the Inspector considered this would be contrary to Policy DM1(b) of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy that contains general development requirements including the need not to give rise to road safety problems and the

APP/D3315/A/14/2 228193 REPLACEMENT OF DOUBLE GARAGE WITH THE ERECTION OF 1 NO. DETACHED DWELLING IN THE GARDEN OF THE LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL ROME TRUMD DOBE TRUMBLIL PARK, BISHOPS HULL ROME TRUMD DEAR CORD OF THE LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL PARK, BISHOPS HULL ROME TRUMD DEAR CORD OF THE LODGE AND		<u> </u>			anneal was DICMICCED
DOUBLE GARAGE WITH THE ERECTION OF 1 NO. DETACHED DWELLING IN THE GARDEN OF THE LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL PARK, BISHOPS HULL PARK, BISHOPS HULL DOUBLE GARAGE WITH THE ERECTION OF 1 NO. DETACHED DWELLING IN THE GARDEN OF THE LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL PARK, BISHOPS HULL DOUBLE GARAGE WITH THE ERECTION OF 1 NO. DETACHED along the A38, and the ad-hoc expansion of Taunton in an unplanned way. The development is, therefore, considered to be unsustainable and contrary to Policies CP8 and DM2 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. DOUBLE RUTH THE ERECTION Outland the ad-hoc along the A38, and the ad-hoc development at this location, and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the along the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and whether the proposal would be an appropriate form of development and propropriate form of development and whether the scheme is likely to have an adverse effect upon the health of surrounding tree	A DD /D 0 0 4 5 /A /4 4 /0	DEDI AGENENIT GE		05/4.4/0000	1 1
WITH THE ERECTION OF 1 NO. DETACHED DWELLING IN THE GARDEN OF THE LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL PARK,				05/14/0028	· ·
OF 1 NO. DETACHED DWELLING IN THE GARDEN OF THE LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL PARK, BISHOPS HAVING HEALT Adverse effect upon the schem to surrounding tress. Hav	228193				i i i
DWELLING IN THE GARDEN OF THE LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL PARK,			·		· · ·
GARDEN OF THE LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL Unplanned way. The development is, therefore, considered to be unsustainable and contrary to Policies CP8 and DM2 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adjoining the proposed development would not have an adjoining the proposed development would not have an adjoining the proposed of the dwelling would be in a sustainable location, reasonably accessible to facilities in the town and within easy reach of a public transport service along the A38. Subject to appropriate material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a					
LODGE, RUMWELL PARK, BISHOPS HULL Is, therefore, considered to be unsustainable and contrary to Policies CP8 and DM2 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Lisurounding trees. Having taken all factors into account, it was considered the dwelling would be in a sustainable location, reasonably accessible to facilities in the town and within easy reach of a public transport service along the A38. Subject to appropriate material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			•		1
PARK, BISHOPS HULL unsustainable and contrary to Policies CP8 and DM2 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Ball factors into account, it was considered the dwelling would be in a sustainable location, reasonably accessible to facilities in the town and within easy reach of a public transport service along the A38. Subject to appropriate material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a					·
Policies CP8 and DM2 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Policies CP8 and DM2 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a		,	1 '		
Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the trees would cause the intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a		PARK, BISHOPS HULL			all factors into account, it was
Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. In the town and within easy reach of a public transport service along the A38. Subject to appropriate material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a					1
provided to demonstrate that the development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. In the town and within easy reach of a public transport service along the A38. Subject to appropriate material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			Taunton Deane Core Strategy.		in a sustainable location,
development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. development would not have an adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed the A38. Subject to appropriate material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a					1
adverse impact upon trees on and adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. The A38. Subject to appropriate material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			provided to demonstrate that the		in the town and within easy reach
adjoining the proposed development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. material finishes and landscaping, the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			development would not have an		of a public transport service along
development. The loss of these trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. the scheme would be acceptable in terms of scale, siting and design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			adverse impact upon trees on and		
trees would cause the development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			adjoining the proposed		material finishes and landscaping,
development to be more visually intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. design. It was concluded the appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			development. The loss of these		the scheme would be acceptable
intrusive in the landscape and the setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. Deane Core Strategy. appeal scheme would be an appropriate form of development at this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			trees would cause the		in terms of scale, siting and
setting of Rumwell Park, contrary to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			development to be more visually		design. It was concluded the
to Policy CP8 of the Taunton Deane Core Strategy. this location, in overall accord with national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			intrusive in the landscape and the		appeal scheme would be an
Deane Core Strategy. Deane Core Strategy. national and local planning policy. With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			setting of Rumwell Park, contrary		appropriate form of development at
With regard to adjacent trees, the Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			to Policy CP8 of the Taunton		this location, in overall accord with
Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a			Deane Core Strategy.		national and local planning policy.
Inspector found the site of the construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a					With regard to adjacent trees, the
construction to be well outside the branch spread of any protected tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a					
tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a					·
tree and the additional margin normally expected to constitute a					branch spread of any protected
normally expected to constitute a					
root protection zone. Taking these					root protection zone. Taking these
issues into consideration, it was					
concluded the proposed					·

				development would protect the intrinsic beauty and character of
				the countryside. The appeal was
				ALLOWED subject to the
APP/D3315/A/14/	OUTLINE	The application site lies outside of	24/14/0020	conditions listed. The Inspector considered the main
2227705	APPLICATION WITH	1	24/14/0030	issue to be the effect of the
2221103	SOME MATTERS	l		proposed dwelling on the character
	RESERVED FOR THE	•		and appearance of the area.
	ERECTION OF 1 NO.			Having taken into account the rural
	THREE STOREY	•		setting of the proposal, it was
	DWELLING IN THE			considered the large size of the
	GARDEN ADJACENT			proposal would intensify the built
	TO WHITEWELL	be an unsustainable development		up area along the lane and
	COTTAGE, 6 MOOR	contrary to Policies SP1 and DM2		unacceptably erode the open and
	LANE, NORTH CURRY	of the Taunton Deane Core		spacious appearance of the
		Strategy.		hillside. The Inspector therefore
		The application does not		found the proposed house would
		demonstrate that proposed		have an adverse impact on the
		development would not have a		character and appearance of the
		significant landscape impact as		area, contrary to the requirements
		seen from the nearby public		of the policy of the Taunton Deane
		footpath (on the opposite side of		Core Strategy (2012) (CS) and the
		the road running from east to west) and from Moor Lane. The		Taunton Deane Local Plan (2004). The appeal was therefore
		feasibility study shows that the		DISMISSED.
		proposed house elevation from		DIGINIOOLD.
		Moor Lane although set back from		
		the edge of the property would be		
		quite dominating to walkers and to		
		a lesser extent drivers and would		
		be locally prominent interfering		

with the attractive middle and longer distance views when looking in an easterly direction. Also, the proposed entranceway	
which would open up views into the site, which would have an	
urbanising impact on the entrance route into North Curry. All of this would have a detrimental impact	
on the appearance and character of this rural area and the open	
countryside beyond and is therefore contrary to policies CP1(g), DM1(d) and CP8 of the	
adopted Taunton Deane Core Strategy and retained policy EN11	
[Special Landscape Feature – North Curry Ridge] of the Taunton Deane Local Plan, adopted November 2004.	
11000111001 2004.	

APPEALS RECEIVED FOR COMMITTEE AGENDA - 17 FEBRUARY 2015

APPEAL NO	PROPOSAL	APPLICATION NUMBER
APP/D3315/W/14/3001909	PRIOR APPROVAL FOR PROPOSED CHANGE OF USE FROM AGRICULTURAL BUILDING TO DWELLING HOUSE (USE CLASS C3) AND ASSOCIATED BUILDING OPERATIONS AT SAWYERS HILL, WEST BUCKLAND	46/14/0033/CMB
APP/D3315/C/15/3002755	UNAUTHORISED DEVELOPMENT 82 RUSSET CLOSE WELLINGTON	E/0084/43/14
APP/D3315/W/15/3003156	CHANGE OF USE OF LAND FROM PLANTED BELT TO GARDEN WITHIN DOMESTIC CURTILAGE AND ERECTION OF FENCE TO THE SIDE OF 19 MANNING ROAD, COTFORD ST LUKE (RETENTION OF WORKS ALREADY UNDERTAKEN)	53/14/0007