# **Amendment Sheet**

### 14/2006/014

COUNTY HIGHWAY AUTHORITY superseding comments received state that permission should be granted for B1 and B2 uses only and not for B8 uses. The reason for this is to prevent any substantial increase in large goods/distribution vehicles that will be likely to result from a storage/distribution use. In the interests of the amenity of the dwellings fronting Mill Lane storage uses may be allowed where it can be demonstrated that there will be no substantial increase in heavy vehicular movements. However this application is for an open B8 use for which there is a likelihood of a detrimental increase in vehicular movements.

Amended Recommendation:- Subject to the written confirmation from the applicants deleting B8 use permission be GRANTED for B1 and B2 uses only.

# 19/2006/014

As further amended by agents letter dated 31st May with attached plan GWH145/08A and letter dated 5th June, 2006 with attached plan No. GWH145/01/F

COUNTY HIGHWAY AUTHORITY raise no objection in detail subject to the provision of additional detailed matters.

PARISH COUNCIL there should be more stonework, especially along Station Road. Stone has been used for the new properties in Hatch Beauchamp Nursing Home resulting in modern attractive well built properties.

1 FURTHER LETTER OF OBJECTION has been received raising the following issues:- care should be taken to avoid overlooking of the Rectory for privacy and peace to allow it to function properly.

Amended Condition:- delete reference to obscure glazing in plot 09 and plots 10 and 13 which do not have velux windows.

Amended Recommendation:- Subject to the receipt of acceptable additional highway details and no further letters of representation raising new issues by 28th

June, 2006 the Development Control Manager in consultation with the Chair/Vice Chair be authorised to determine and permission be GRANTED ... (as printed)

### 30/2006/013LB

#### **WITHDRAWN**

### 38/2006/113

Reserved Matters application.

As amended by letter received 14th June, 2006.

COUNTY HIGHWAY AUTHORITY I refer to my colleagues letter dated 24th October, 2005 in connection with outline 38/2005/356. These comments apply equally to the present application.

7 FURTHER LETTERS OF OBJECTION have been received raising the following issues:- proposal will dominate and overshadow Dovetail Court; cause loss of light and overlooking; odours from bin store; lack of parking in the area; lay-by should not be contemplated would endanger lives; loss of two houses; drainage problems with high density building; loss of privacy and light; loss of landscaping trees will add to pollution; loss of wildlife; pressure on boundary wall; three storey block next to Dovetail Court unacceptable, where two storey is in harmony with the area; studio units should be included to reduce the size.

2 WARD COUNCILLORS consider insufficient time has been given from objectors to comment on revisions and two of the objectors are out of the country; the report is a mixture of two different applications and given the importance of this development I request it be withdrawn, rewritten and presented to the following Committee meeting.

Additional Condition re first floor rear window to unit 16 being obscure glazed.

Amended Recommendation:- Subject to revised bin store siting and no further representations raising new issues by 15th June, 2006 the Development Control Manager in consultation with the Chair/Vice Chair be authorised to determine and permission be GRANTED ... (as printed)

# 38/2006/177

THREE FURTHER LETTERS OF OBJECTION have been received raising similar issues to those summarised in the report and the following additional issues:- if aerials are to be attached for line of site coverage, does this mean trees will be restricted if they grow in the way?

TWO FURTHER LETTERS OF SUPPORT have been received raising similar issues to those summarised in the report.

Copies to:

CHAIR/NTN/TB/JM/CDW/AG/DA/JH/KM/JLH/IC/TAB/CJW/HM/H&L/RWF/ Planning Reception/JJ/RB/17 Committee Members/15 Public