## PLANNING COMMITTEE - WEDNESDAY 2ND MARCH 2005

## **Amendment Sheet**

### 4 22/2005/002

Withdrawn

### 6 25/2005/001

Delete previous amendment insert "As amended by letter dated 21st February, 2005 with accompanying drawing No. 1231/2B.

COUNTY HIGHWAY AUTHORITY now that parking has been amended to allow two spaces for each of the new dwellings no objection to proposal. However it is important that in the interests of inter-visibility there is no obstruction to visibility for vehicles exiting the parking/turning area and joining the existing access. In the event of permission being granted would recommend a condition re boundary to frontage to be no higher than 300 mm across entire frontage, parking, turning and no discharge of surface water onto highway. Plan forwarded confirming extent of highway. SOMERSET WILDLIFE TRUST recommend that the additional hedgerow planting comprise appropriate native species, ideally of local provenance.

FURTHER LETTERS FROM OBJECTORS following amended plans raising the following new issues:- will overlook parking area; amendments to entrance would make the area too narrow for trucks to enter which would block other access areas; light pollution; development would not enhance the area but rather devalue it; the population of the Close could increase by about one third and small area of land more suited to a single bungalow; will not provide garages; problem of reversing from garage; will reduce ability to park on the highway; difficulty of accessing one of parking spaces.

PARISH COUNCIL (further comments) the car park for the terrace is totally out of keeping with Northwood Close, the dwellings in which consist of semi-detached properties with garages. It is not in keeping therefore with the street scene and the whole development is alien in the environment of Northwood Close.

Additional conditions re boundary to frontage to provide for adequate visibility (no higher than 300 mm for 4 m on either side of access), turning and no discharge of surface water onto the highway.

Amended Recommendation:- Subject to no further representations raising new issues on the amended plan by 8th March, 2005 the Chair/Vice Chair in

consultation with the Development Control Manager be authorised to determine and permission be GRANTED ... (as printed).

## 7 38/2005/003

As amended by agents letter dated 25th February, 2005 and drawing Nos. 20.01A, 20.02A, 20.03B, 20.04B and 40.01.

Amended plans have now been submitted which resolve the concerns of the Conservation Officer in respect of internal alterations to No. 4 Wellington Road, which reposition the disabled bedroom to the new building thereby negating the need for a new disabled ramp to the existing listed building.

Assessment - 4th line 'which' should be replace with 'whilst'.

FURTHER NEIGHBOUR LETTER advising of a right of way that would be affected.

Additional conditions re sample panel of brickwork for new build, parking limited to number of spaces shown on submitted drawing, surfacing of exit track onto Wellington Road and delineation of right of way within parking area.

# 8 38/2005/004LB

As amended by agents letter dated 25th February, 2005 and drawing Nos. 20.01A, 20.02A, 20.03B, 20.04B and 40.01.

Amended plans have been submitted which resolve the concerns of the Conservation Officer. These plans amend the en-suites such that they do not breach historic fireplaces; upgrade existing panel doors instead of proposing new fire doors; reposition disabled bedroom to the new building thereby negating the need for a new disable ramp to the existing listed building.

CONSERVATION OFFICER replace comments with "all concerns overcome".

Additional conditions required re materials as form, specific details of upgrading for fire prevention/sound transmission upgrading of existing doors, details of en-suites, vent terminals for en-suites, new windows, doors and fire screen to staircase.

#### 10 49/2004/081

SOMERSET WILDLIFE TRUST we have studied the response from SERC and have no comments to make.

ONE LETTER OF OBJECTION received raising the following issues:- as far as I am aware the shed belongs to me; when we brought the house we were told there would be no planning permission for the building; the proposal takes away our privacy and due to the close proximity it takes away our freedom of movement; I have been residing at this property for 21 years, this has now become a second generation home.

Copies to:

CHAIR/NTN/TB/JM/CDW/AG/DA/JH/KM/JLH/IC/TAB/CJW/HM/H&L/RWF/Planning Reception/JJ/RB/17 Committee Members/15 Public