

**Planning Committee - WEDNESDAY 7th JULY 2004
Amendment Sheet**

5 10/2004/008

Letter received from owner of Ford Barton suggesting that she has no intention of building further or selling off the annex.

6 20/2004/011

COUNTY HIGHWAY AUTHORITY in the even of permission being granted recommend conditions re parking, access, hard surfacing of access, gradient, no discharge of surface water onto highway, stopping up of existing access, turning space, visibility splays 2.4 m x 90 m. Note re contacting Highway Service Manager.

7 29/2004/004

Withdrawn

8 34/2004/025

Letter from applicant stating that they have a real problem with people using property as a short cut to the Spar shop opposite. The letter explains that a porch erected under permitted development would not block the path. The applicants have also tried planting hedges and shrubs however these have ben pulled up repeatedly. They state that it has been proved that there is no footpath or right of way over their property and that a conservatory covering the path would put an end to all the aggravation.

ONE LETTER OF REPRESENTATION received from adjoining occupants stating their concern regarding how they would obtain access to their front door from the car park if the application was approved.

ONE LETTER OF OBJECTION on the grounds of overlooking and the proposal would be unsightly on this elevation.

9 35/2004/007

COUNTY HIGHWAY AUTHORITY no objection.

10 38/2004/198

FURTHER LETTER FROM NEIGHBOUR maintaining objection suggesting that setting back garage 1 m will make no difference.

12 42/2004/019

As amended by BBA Architects letter dated 28th June, 2004 and drawings attached.

3 FURTHER LETTERS OF OBJECTION received on the grounds of increased density; overdevelopment; puts 2 storey buildings on highest part of site, increased pressure on car parking; will unbalance population of Trull, loss of privacy; buildings should be single storey; width of building and low pitched roof makes buildings inappropriate.

The revised drawings received reduce the height of the buildings as suggested. However, this has been achieved primarily by reducing the pitch of the roofs, which gives an inappropriate appearance. They have therefore been asked to reconsider and look at other options to reduce the height, such as reducing the depth of the buildings.

Amended Recommendation:- Subject to further revised drawings and further observations of the Fire Officer the Chief Planning Officer ... (as printed)

15 49/2004/026

CONSERVATION OFFICER this application differs from that previously approved by the introduction of a room below the extended terrace and hence windows/openings to light the latter, being provided within the former blind arches of the new retaining wall. Whilst the previous approval extended the terrace, it was supported and retained by a wall of local stone with blind arch detailing. The current proposal by introducing openings to one side of retained terrace introduces an alien feature which unbalances the approach to house, belies the design element of the retaining wall and as such is detrimental to the setting of the principal listed building. Objection raised.

PARISH COUNCIL approve application.

16 49/2004/027LB

Amended Proposal:- Erection of new terrace and retaining wall to front of dwelling, incorporating additional room within the terrace. New porch also to be constructed. Retaining wall of terrace will include glazed panels within recessed arches. Material to be stone. Application 49/2003/072LB for new porch and terrace granted listed building consent 3rd February, 2004. New application differs by adding some glazed panels to arches.

CONSERVATION OFFICER this application differs from that previously approved by the introduction of a room below the extended terrace and hence windows/openings to light the latter, being provided within the form blind arches of the new retaining wall. Whilst the previous approval extended the terrace, it was supported and retained by a wall of local stone with blind arch detailing. The current proposal by introducing openings to one side of retained terrace introduces an alien feature which unbalances the approach to house, belies the design element of the retaining wall and as such is detrimental to the setting of the principal listed building. Objection raised.

17 51/2004/004

Amended Recommendation:- condition should read "restricted working hours" and not "restricted working house".
