

Amendment Sheet

---

**4 11/2004/003LB**

PARISH COUNCIL no objection in principle to application, assuming owner of Pennbridge Court is content with work being done on the end of his building; little justification given for the games room as house already has six bedrooms.

CONSERVATION OFFICER Pre application discussion re a similar scheme in late 2001. Concern reference the encroachment into orchard to north, addressed to some degree by current proposal. Main difference between schemes is the introduction of a two-storey element, single-storey games room previously discussed. The two-storey extension, due to its configuration, fenestration and scale, competes visually with the original dwelling. A single- storey games room, of natural unpainted stone and less fussy windows, linked to the existing house by a light-weight fully glazed structure, would assist in emphasizing the distinction between original house and ancillary structures. Objection as proposal stands.

COMMENT OF THE CHIEF PLANNING OFFICER I consider that the two-story element (4m to eaves) relates more to the Barn conversion at the adjacent property and with the simple single-storey link providing a gap of 3.5m, the proposal is acceptable as submitted.

Additional Conditions: specific details of new windows, doors (internal & external), new staircase, means of venting covered roofs, finished treatment for joinery, windows recessed, no bell cast to window or door heads.

---

**5 11/2004/004**

PARISH COUNCIL no objection in principle to application, assuming owner of Pennbridge Court is content with work being done on the end of his building; little justification given for the games room as house already has six bedrooms.

LANDSCAPE OFFICER no objection

ENVIRONMENT HEALTH OFFICER no objection subject to notes re; drainage; MAFF code of conduct

Additional Condition: landscaping

Additional Note: drainage, MAFF code of conduct

Amended Recommendation: Subject to ...Delete Landscape Officer and Environmental Health Officer.

---

**6 38/2004/016**

5 E-MAILS and 2 ADDITIONAL LETTERS OF OBJECTION have been received on the grounds that; there would be no area of safety to evacuate residents in the event of fire; expansion of Murley House would compromise the security of Forces personnel who live on Wyvern Road in addition to the residents; staff and visitors would park outside the houses in Wyvern road thereby reducing their amenity and visual appearance; the unadopted bridge over Sherford Brook would be further weakened by intensification in use; there would be increased risks to children; a decision on the application should be postponed until the newly formed residents association can reach agreement to get the bridge and road adopted or to agree to share the expense of maintenance; the expectation that residents should bear the entire cost of maintenance is unreasonable; a reduction in car parking spaces is illogical; the application should be delayed until 40 Commando who are responsible for the security of military personnel return from Norway; and overlooking would result.

An additional e-mail has also been received from the MOD on the grounds that: the increase in volume of traffic coupled with the reduction in parking spaces will lead to parking in Wyvern Road which will compromise the security of our occupants who are military personnel; occupants will also be required to exit the estate in the event of a security alert off-site; and that most of our occupants have young children and the increased volume of traffic would represent a high risk to them.

CONTACT OFFICER Mr J Grant

---

**7 38/2004/029**

CONTACT OFFICER: Alex Graves

---

**8 42/2004/002**

As amended by drawings received

The amended drawings confirm that the front extension would be set back some 0.15 m from the existing boundary walls and that the boundary wall would be rebuilt on the same line as existing.

---

**9 47/2004/002**

2 ADDITIONAL LETTERS OF OBJECTION have been received on the grounds that: the very finely balanced hydrology of the area has not been taken into account; 10-12 other properties could flood as a consequence; the siting nearer Walnut Tree Cottage would upset the aesthetic positioning in view of its scale and dominance, and will overshadow the Cottage; and the new property will dominate surrounding bungalows and houses.

---

Copies to:  
CHAIR/NTN/TB/JM/CDW/AG/DA/JH/KM/JLH/IC/TAB/CJW/HM/H&L/RWF/  
Planning Reception/JJ/RB/17 Committee Members/15 Public